



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

JAMES J. DAVIS, Secretary

## CHILDREN'S BUREAU

GRACE ABBOTT, Chief

# JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS 1928

# BASED ON INFORMATION SUPPLIED BY 65 COURTS

SECOND ANNUAL REPORT



Bureau Publication No. 200



UNITED STATES
GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
WASHINGTON: 1930

JVN 20

# CONTENTS

	Pag
The courts cooperating	
Delinquency cases	Ē
Delinquency ratios	ì
Children involved in the cases	8
Age and sex	Š
Color and nativity	ę
Where living when referred to court	11
Previous court experience	11
Sources of complaint	12
Places of care pending hearing or disposition	13
Charges	14
Dispositions	17
Official cases	17
Unofficial cases	22
Dependency and neglect cases	- 25
Children involved in the cases	28
Sources of complaint and charges	25
Places of care pending hearing or disposition.	26
Dispositions	27
Cases of children discharged from probation or supervision	29
Appendixes:	
A.—Courts furnishing statistical material for 1928	31
B.—Source tables	33



# **JUVENILE-COURT STATISTICS, 1928**

#### THE COURTS COOPERATING

The second annual report of juvenile-court statistics is compiled from information supplied by 65 courts cooperating with the Children's Bureau in the plan for obtaining uniform statistics of delinquency, and dependency and neglect cases dealt with by juvenile courts. The number of courts participating in the plan is steadily increasing. On July 1, 1929, 104 courts were known to be using the cards and 46 more had been supplied with cards and were therefore

presumably cooperating.

Sixty-five courts sent in statistical data for the entire calendar year 1928, as compared with 43 for the year 1927.2 The names of the 65 courts reporting for 1928, with the largest city or town in the area served by each court, are given in Appendix A, page 31. For convenience each court will be designated hereafter only by the territory over which it has jurisdiction. The number of cases dealt with by each court during the year is shown in Table 1-38,882 delinquency cases, 16,289 dependency and neglect cases, and 10,429 cases of children discharged from probation or supervision during the year. Although all the courts have jurisdiction over both delinquency cases and dependency or neglect cases, cards for delinquency cases only were obtained from three of these courts, and one court reported only dependency or neglect cases. No cases of delinquency, dependency, or neglect were reported for two localities from which records of children discharged from probation or supervision were received. Only 62 of the 65 courts, therefore, reported cases of delinquency, 53 courts reported cases of dependency and neglect, 45 courts reported cases of children discharged from probation or supervision. figures, representing the number of courts reporting each type of case, will be used in the tables and discussion in this report.

As the cards were usually sent by the probation office associated with the court, the organization of this office and its relation to the court affect the completeness with which the work of the court, as to both type and number of cases, is reported.3 Most of the failures

dashaged rough product of supervision to length of the production of supervision period and the Peason for discharge are given. If it so chooses a court may compile its own tables in accordance with the Children's Bureau plan, instead of sending in eards.

2 Juvenile-Court Statistics, 1927. U. S. Children's Bureau Publication No. 195. Washington, 1929.

<sup>3</sup> In some localities the probation office is a separate organization.

l The basis of the plan is the filling out of statistical eards: A yellow card for each case of delinquency disposed of during a calendar year; a blue card for each case of dependency or neglect disposed of; and a white card for each case of a child discharged from probation (in delinquency cases) or from supervision (in dependency or neglect cases). The yellow and blue cards differ only in the lists of charges and dispositions. The cards have been so arranged that little clerical work is involved; most of the information is entered by checking. Cards and a bulletin of instructions are furnished by the Children's Bureau witbout charge to cooperating courts, as are franks or addressed envelopes requiring no postage for use in mailing cards back to the bureau. Cards are returned to the bureau for tabulation at least once a year, and preferably several times each year.

The Children's Bureau prepares from the cards a set of tables on printed forms for each court. These are sent to the courts for use in annual reports, if desired. The facts presented in these tables include charges, places of care pending hearing, manner of dealing with cases, and dispositions. The number of different children dealt with, the number of repeaters, and certain social facts are also shown. For cases discharged from probation or supervision the length of the probation or supervision period and the reason for discharge are given. If it so chooses a court may compile its own tables in accordance with the Children's and control of the probation of supervision errors and the reason for discharge are given.

to report all types of cases were due to divided responsibility in checking cards and returning them to the Children's Bureau. Twenty of the courts did not send records of children discharged from probation or supervision. It is probable that in some of these courts the probation records were incomplete. In others, cases may have been allowed to become inactive without dismissal or removal from the list or index of active cases.

Another situation that affects the number of cases reported by a court is the extent to which records are kept of unofficial work. Unofficial cases may be defined as cases adjusted informally by the judge, referee, or probation officer without being placed on the court calendar by the filing of a petition or other legal paper for adjudication by the judge or referee. All the courts were asked to report such cases, but none was reported by 25 <sup>4</sup> of the courts reporting delinquency cases. (See Table I, p. 33.) It is probable that in many of these courts some complaints are adjusted unofficially without any records being made.

Table 1 shows wide variation in the relative number of delinquency and of dependency or neglect cases dealt with during the year. This variation is due in part to the extent to which local agencies other than the court are caring for dependent and neglected children.

Table 1.—Number of cases of each type; cases of boys and girls dealt with by 65 specified courts during 1928

				Case	es dealt v	vith				
Court	Delir	quency	cases		dency an ect cases		Cases of children dis- charged from proba- tion or supervision			
	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	
Total	38, 882	32, 822	6, 060	16, 289	8, 376	7, 913	10, 429	8, 048	2, 381	
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford District of Columbia. Indiana: Adams County.	431 552 2,004	354 491 1, 692	77 61 312	69 144 533	28 71 286	41 73 247	139 244 553	118 217 465	21 27 88	
Clark County	9 26 454	5 18 306	4 8 148	16 5 290	11 4 140	5 1 150	5 52	5 27	25	
Marion County	822 41 17 30 89	534 22 9 25 53	288 19 8 5 36	322	157	165 12	283 9 10 28 55	154 4 5 23 41	129 5 5 5 14	
Wayne County Iowa: Polk County	30 753	20 590	10 163	630	315	315				
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	232 257	194 221	38 36	85 115	28 54	57 61	12 40	12 23	17	
Minnesota: Hennepin CountyRamsey County	1, 149 375	896 298	253 77	336 135	170 69	166 66	500 285	374 212	126 73	
St. Louis County (south- ern part)	291	242	49	47	28	19	10 317	9 275	1 42	

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Indiana—Clark County, Jennings County; Minnesota—Hennepin County, Ramsey County; New Jersey—Hudson County, Mercer County; New York—Buffalo, Chemung County, Columbia County, Delaware County, Erie County, Franklin County, Monroe County, New York City. Ontario County, Orleans County; North Carolina—Winston-Salem; Ohio—Franklin County; Pennsylvania—Allegheny County, Lycoming County, Montgomery County; Virginia—Lynchburg, Roanoke County; Washington—Pierce County.

Table 1.—Number of cases of each type; cases of boys and girls dealt with by 65 specified courts during 1928—Continued

				Cas	es dealt v	with				
Court	Delir	quency	cases		dency ar lect cases		Cases of children dis charged from proba tion or supervision			
	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls	
New Jersey:										
Hudson County	1,850	1,588	262		<b></b>		234	193	4	
Mercer County	294	272	22				94	85		
New York:	020	070	00	70	20	21	150	140		
Buffalo Chemung County	$\frac{938}{124}$	870 96	68 28	70 115	39 57	31 58	159	142	1	
Clinton County	25	14	11	37	19	18	11	10		
Columbia County	65	50	15	116	68	48	41	24	1	
Delaware County	10	8	2							
Erie County	197	181	16	83	39	44	81	79		
Franklin County	44	38	6	49	22	27.				
Monroe County	222	172	50	239	124	115	51	31	2	
New York City Ontario County	7. 204 100	6, 255 83	949 17	3, 617	1, 893 41	1, 724 43	2, 697	1, 935	76	
Orange County	33	31	17	61	31	30				
Orleans County	13	12	ĺi	37	28	9	4	4		
Westchester County	888	743	145	370	187	183	537	485	5	
North Carolina:	000	110	110	0.0	101	100	007	100		
Buncombe County	106	92	14	84	43	41				
Winston-Salem	343	274	69	26	14	12				
Ohio:										
Auglaize County	28	23	5	46	26	20				
Clark County	395	294	101	98	52	46	21	20		
Cuyahoga County	2,636	2, 235	401	1, 141	597	544	292	234	5	
Franklin County Hamilton County	763 1, 097	550 1, 097	213	613 361	310	303 200	374	325	4	
Lake County	67	58	9	40	161 22	18	257 11	257 7		
Mahoning County	1,854	1, 578	276	185	95	90	137	125	1	
Montgomery County	534	345	189	496	265	231	107	120	1	
Sandusky County	49	29	20	60	35	25	14	9		
Pennsylvania:										
Allegheny County	1, 243	1, 033	210	1, 018	508	510				
Berks County	103	77	26	31	11	20	14	11		
Lycoming County	13	6	7	25	9	16				
Montgomery County	65	54	11	34	20	14				
Philadelphia Greenville	6, 200	5, 411	789	3, 744	1, 979	1, 765	2, 333	1,675		
County	105	86	19	126	64	62	55	33	2	
Texas: Orange County	9	9	13	3	1	2	1	1	2	
Utah:				1	1		1	1		
First district	347	296	51	1	1					
Second district	318	289	29				47	42		
Third district	825	709	116	145	73	72	1	1		
Fourth district	308	260	48	27	7	20				
Fifth district	453	425	28	14	5	9	26	26		
Carbon County Other counties	97 <b>241</b>	97 212	29				69	69		
Virginia:	24,1	212	29	5		5	8	6		
Lynchburg	279	245	34	30	16	14	69	60		
Norfolk	669	523	146	232	114	118	239	186	5	
Roanoke County	12	10	2	8	111	7	290	100	U	
Washington: Pierce County	154	122	32	70	29	41				

Most of the courts reporting have county-wide jurisdiction, but a few are serving a city only.<sup>5</sup> In most of the State of Utah the juvenile courts are organized on a district basis, each district including several counties.<sup>6</sup> Although cards were received from a number of courts in several States, Utah is the only State from which records from all the juvenile courts in the State were received.

About half the reporting courts (31) shown in Table 1 were serving areas having 100,000 or more population. The court serving the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> New York City includes 5 boroughs or counties, each of which has a subdivision of the court.
<sup>6</sup> The courts for each of the remaining counties, although not organized on a district plan, have been dealt with in two groups for statistical purposes: "Carbon County" and "Other counties."

area having the smallest population was in a county having about

13.000 population.

The maximum age of original jurisdiction of these courts varied from 16 to 18 years. Of the 65 courts 25 had jurisdiction over children under 16 years of age; 74 had jurisdiction under 17 years; 8 and 24 had jurisdiction under 18 years. 9 Of the remaining 12 courts, 11 had jurisdiction over delinquent and dependent and neglected boys under 16, delinquent girls under 18, and dependent and neglected girls under 17; 10 and 1 (Orange County, Tex.) had jurisdiction over delinquent boys under 17, delinquent girls up to 18, and dependent and neglected children up to 16 years of age.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Bridgeport and Hartford, Conn.; Hudson County and Mercer County, N. J. (girls under 17 may be committed by the juvenile court to the State home for girls); Buffalo, Chemung County, Clinton County, Columbia County, Delaware County, Eric County, Franklin County, Monroe County, New York City, Ontario County, Orange County, Orleans County, and Westchester County, N. Y.; Buncombe County and Winston-Salem, N. C.; Allegheny County, Berks County, Lycoming County, Montgomery County, and Philadelphia, Pa.; and Greenville County, S. C.

<sup>8</sup> District of Columbia; Caddo Parish and Ouachita Parish, La.; and Jackson County, Mo.

<sup>9</sup> Polk County, Iowa (this court has concurrent original jurisdiction up to 21 years but seldom exercised this privilege); Hennepin County, Ramsey County, and St. Louis County, Minn.; Auglaize County, Clark County, Cuyahoga County, Franklin County, Hamilton County, Lake County, Mahoning County, Montgomery County, and Sandusky County, Ohio; first district, second district, third district, fourth district, fifth district. Carbon County, and other counties, Utah; Lynchburg, Norfolk, and Roanoke County, Va.; and Pierce County, Wash.

<sup>10</sup> Adams County, Clarke County, Steuben County, Vermillion County, and Wayne County, Ind.

## DELINQUENCY CASES

The tables which summarize the information in regard to the 38,882 delinquency cases reported by 62 juvenile courts, show a very close agreement with the tables prepared on the basis of 28,387 cases reported by 42 courts for the calendar year 1927. Although some differences were found in the cases reported by individual courts which sent cards for both years, the combined figures seem little affected by these variations or by the addition of data from more Because of the similarity of the findings in the two years only the significant points of difference will be noted.

Since approximately a third of the cases were reported by courts in New York City and Philadelphia, an analysis was made of the extent to which the policies and procedures in these courts may have affected the combined figures for all courts. On the whole, the figures obtained from these two courts correspond fairly closely with the average of the figures from all other courts except in a few instances which will be discussed in connection with the tables in which they occur.

## DELINQUENCY RATIOS

The ratios of delinquent children to 1,000 children of juvenile-court age in the estimated population have been calculated for courts serving areas having 100,000 or more estimated population which reported their cases to the Children's Bureau during either 1927 or 1928. These ratios are shown in Table 2.

Several factors other than variation in the amount of delinquency affect the ratios in a given locality. In this connection the age jurisdiction of the court is of special importance. Although in a number of courts having jurisdiction over children under 18 years of age the delinquency ratios were low, they would have been materially lower if children 16 and 17 years of age had been excluded; these children constituted about a third of the children reported by such courts (Table 3), whereas children of 16 and 17 years constituted a much smaller proportion of all the children of juvenile court age in the localities.

Although all the courts for which ratios were calculated were serving populations of 100,000 or more, 5 of these <sup>11</sup> were situated in cities of less than 50,000 population. The proportion of nonurban residents in the population of the area over which the court has jurisdiction materially affects the number of cases brought before the court unless the organization of the court provides for definite services throughout the area of its jurisdiction; even then it is probable that fewer cases in proportion to the population will be found in nonurban areas, though little statistical evidence on this subject is available. In the 9 localities 12 in which one-fourth or more of the population served by the courts were living in rural areas, the delinquency ratios for boys were below 10 except in Kent County, Mich., Montgomery County, Ohio, and the State of Utah.

Lake County, Ind.; Orange County, N. Y.; Montgomery County, Pa.; Greenville County, S. C.;
 Dane County, Wis.
 Kent County, Mich.; Orange County, N. Y.; Montgomery County, Ohio; Berks County and Montgomery County, Pa.; Greenville County, S. C.; Pierce County, Wash.; Dane County, Wis.; and the State of Utah.

Table 2.—Ratio of delinquent boys and girls to 1,000 estimated population of juve-nile-court age of the same sex and color dealt with by certain specified courts reporting for 1927 and 1928 1

Court	Estimated population of juvenile court juris-	Age of original juvenile- court jurisdiction	dre por	o of del n to 1,0 oulation rt age	000 esti n of juv	mated venile-
	diction age, July 1, 1928	l court jurisque vou	Вс	ys	Gi	rls
			1927	1928	1927	1928
California: San Francisco (city)	109, 091	Under 21	14. 5	(2)	1.3	(2)
Bridgeport (city)	27, 471	Under 16	27. 6	24. 2	4.6	5. 5
Hartford (city) District of Columbia	24, 857	do Under 17	41.5	38. 1	6.5	4.9
White	71, 961	Under 17	40. 2 20. 8	41. 7 24. 2	6. 6 2. 5	7. 2.8
Colored			100. 7	96.6	20.5	21.
ndiana:			l			ł
Lake County	40, 778	Under 16(B), 18(G)	16.3	15.6	7.9	6. ? 7.
White	64, 895	do	18. 3 15. 7	15. 2 12. 2	8. 1 5. 6	6.
Colored	1		38. 8	38. 7	28.6	17.1
owa: Polk County	35, 106	Under 18	(2) (2)	30. 1	(2) (2) (2)	7. 3 5.
Louisiana: Caddo Parish 3	21, 106	Under 17	(2)	324.5	(2)	3 5.
Michigan: Kent County	36, 023	ao	19. 2	(2)	(2)	(2)
Hennepin County	80, 324	Under 18	18. 7	20. 5	4.5	5.
Ramsey County	46,007	do	11. 0	12.7	2.9	3.
New Jersey:			20.0		20	
Hudson County		Under 16	20.3	21. 5 14. 7	2.8 1.1	3. 1.
Mercer County New York:	31, 149	do	10. 9	14.7	1.1	1
Buffalo (city)	88, 852	do	15. 9	17. 0	1.1	1.
Erie County (excluding Buffalo)	26, 157	do	11.8	13. 3	1.4	1.
Monroe County	60, 678	do	(2) 4 8. 3	5.6	(2) 4 1. 4	î. 1.
Erie County (excluding Buffalo) Monroe County New York City White	1, 016, 961	do	8.0	11. 6 10. 9	1.3	1.
			14.8	31. 0	5.0	6.
Orange County	18, 082	Under 16	$\frac{(^{2})}{22.8}$	2. 5 18. 7	(2) 3.8	(2)
Orange County Westchester County White	70, 162	do		18. 7	3.8	(2) 3. 2.
Colored			48. 2	17. 5 57. 8	19.7	16.
Ohio:	1			İ		
Franklin County White	57, 777	Under 18		16.8	6.8	6.
White				14. 1	5. 7 18. 1	6. 12.
White Colored Hamilton County	88 709	Under 18	53. 5 24. 9	40. 1 22. 1	(2)	(2)
White	l	Onder 10	19. 4	18. 8	(2) (2)	(2) (2) (2)
Colored		i	84.8	56.8	(2)	(2)
Mahoning County Montgomery County White	46, 788	Under 18do	48.6	53. 5	11.6	ìó. 8.
Montgomery County	42, 572	ao	(2)	14. 2 11. 7	2	6.
Colored			(2)	50.8	(2) (2) (2)	40.
Donmarylmania						
Allegheny County	231, 187	Under 16	(2) (2) (2) 2. 0 2. 1	7.6	(2) (2) (2)	1.
Wnite			(2)	7. 0 17. 5	2	1. 7.
Berks County  Montgomery County  Philadelphia (city) <sup>6</sup> South Carolina: Greenville County	36, 536	Under 16	2.0	4. 2	0.5	1.
Montgomery County	36, 536 36, 819	do	2. 1	2, 7	0.7	0.
Philadelphia (city)	315, 169	do	27.5	26. 6	4.0	4.
South Carolina: Greenville County White	23, 197	do	(2)	6. 3 5. 3	(2) (2) (2)	1.
Colored			(2)	9.4	2	2. 0.
rennessee: Memphis (city)	29, 553	Under 17	39. 9	(2)	8.4 8.7	(2)
White			27.7	(2)	8.7	(2) (2) (2) (2) 4. 4.
Colored	191 814	Under 18	56.3	29, 6	8.0	(*)
Third District 6	44, 036	Under 18	(2) (2)	27. 9	(2) (2) 8. 3	4.
Virginia: Norfolk (city)	26, 915	do	41. 2	34. 6	8.3	I 10. 2
Utah: (entire State) Third District <sup>6</sup> Virginia: Norfolk (city) White.			31. 9	26. 0	5.9	7.
Colored Washington: Pierce County		Under 18.	57. 1	49. 5	12. 0 1. 6	14. 2.
washington: Pierce CollntV	29, 623	1 UHUCE 18	6.4	8.1	2.8	(2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes courts serving cities or counties having 100,000 or more estimated population except St. Louis County, Minn., which reported for only the southern half of the county and Cuyahoga County, Ohio, which reported unofficial cases for only 9 months of 1928. Color is shown for those courts serving cities or counties of this size having at least 10,000 or 10 per cent colored population in 1920. <sup>2</sup> Not reported.

<sup>6</sup> Includes Salt Lake, Summit, and Tooele Counties.

Non reported.

Number of colored delinquent children not reported; ratios based on estimates for white children only.

Figures incomplete, children whose cases were pending on Jan. 1, 1927, not included.

Figures for white and colored children not reported separately.

The extent to which the court is relied upon to deal with children exhibiting conduct problems is possibly the most significant factor to be considered in analyzing delinquency ratios of juvenile courts. The relation between the juvenile court and the police department varies greatly in different localities. In some places all children arrested by the police are referred to the juvenile court, whereas in others the police themselves deal with many children, especially those committing minor offenses and violating traffic rules. school department may deal with nearly all truancy problems through its own agencies, or it may refer large numbers of attendance cases to the court. If the school system includes such facilities for constructive work with problem children as a child-study department, visiting teachers, and well-trained attendance officers, it is probable that many cases, including other behavior problems as well as truancy, which would otherwise be dealt with by the courts will be cared for by the schools.<sup>13</sup> The extent to which agencies doing case work with problem children or their families are available in the community and the place which the court holds in the estimation of social agencies and the public also influence the number of children referred. Another closely related factor is the amount of unofficial work done by the court and the completeness with which this work is reported. The amount of unofficial work done is partly dependent on court policy and procedure and partly on the number of minor cases accepted by the court.

Some indications of the situations in the communities for which delinquency ratios have been calculated are shown in several of the tables of this report. The table showing source of complaint in delinquency cases (Table IV, p. 46) gives some evidence of the relation of the court to other agencies. One indication of the extent to which the court is regarded as a general agency for dealing with conduct problems of children is the proportion of cases involving very young children. (See Table IIa, p. 36.) The extent to which minor cases are referred to the court is another indication. Although it is difficult to determine the seriousness of an offense committed by a child from the charge preferred or from the manner of dealing with it, some indication of the extent to which minor cases are referred may be obtained from the tables showing charges (Tables VIa and VIb, pp. 50 and 52) and the table showing the percentage of cases

handled unofficially (Table I, p. 33).

Ratios have been calculated separately for white and colored children for all courts serving areas in which 10 per cent or more than 10,000 of the population were colored. As is shown in Table 2, the ratios for colored children are consistently higher than for white children, with the exception of those for girls in two southern courts (Memphis, Tenn., and Greenville County, S. C.). The highest ratio for colored boys was in the District of Columbia, and the highest ratio for colored girls was in Montgomery County, Ohio. The lowest ratios for both colored boys and colored girls were in Greenville County, S. C.

The extent to which a high delinquency ratio for colored children affects the general delinquency ratio of the court will depend upon

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The practice in some jurisdictions of proceeding against the parents in cases of truancy reduces the number of children brought to court on that charge.

the relative number of white and colored children in the community. The greatest variations in 1928 between the ratios for all boys' cases and all girls' cases and those for white boys and girls are found in the District of Columbia and in Norfolk, Va. In both these cities the colored group comprises more than 25 per cent of the total population.

No conclusions as to the community conditions that lie back of the delinquency ratios in different localities can be formulated from this statistical material, but it furnishes the basis on which studies of the actual conditions existing in these communities can be planned more

intelligently.

Slight variations in ratios during the two years are shown for most of the courts. In some instances the small increase or decrease may be the normal variation from year to year in the number of cases coming before the court; in others the difference may be due to more complete reporting or to changes in court policy or personnel which affected the number of cases reported. Delinquency ratios for several successive years will give much valuable information as to trends in juvenile delinquency.

#### CHILDREN INVOLVED IN THE CASES 14

Age and sex.

As a number of the children came before the courts more than once, the 38,882 delinquency cases reported for 1928 by the 62 courts represented 34,764 children—29,151 boys and 5,613 girls. The extent to which the age period of original jurisdiction of the court affected the number of children coming before the court is shown in Table 3. The children of 16 and 17 years constituted nearly a third of the total number of children before the courts having jurisdiction over children under 18 years of age, and nearly equaled the number of 14 and 15 year old children who constituted the largest group in

courts having a lower age jurisdiction.

A few children beyond the age of original jurisdiction were reported by the courts. This may be explained by the fact that some courts have jurisdiction beyond the age of original jurisdiction in certain situations; for example, a case in which the offense was committed before the age limit was reached, even though the case did not come to the attention of the court until afterward, and a case in which a child made a ward of the court before reaching the age limit was before the court on a new charge. All but five of the courts reported some cases of children under 10 years of age, most of them boys. In 32 courts reporting 50 or more children these children under 10 constituted more than 5 per cent of all the children appearing before the court for delinquency. (See Table IIa, p. 35.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> In this section information about the child contained in the record of the first case disposed of during the year was used.

Table 3.—Ages of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 1928, and age limitation of original court jurisdiction 1

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases											
		Age limitation of original court jurisdiction										
Age and sex of child	Total	Under 1	6 years 2	Under	17 years	Under 18 years						
		Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion					
Total	34, 764	19, 743		2, 192		12, 829						
Boys	29, 151	17, 096		1, 851		10, 204						
Age reported	28, 799	16, 953	100	1, 837	100	10, 009	100					
Under 10 years	2, 108 4, 042 7, 407 11, 506 3, 673 63	1, 308 2, 706 5, 062 7, 699 173 5	8 16 30 45 1	162 239 432 622 379 3	9 13 24 34 21 (3)	638 1, 097 1, 913 3, 185 3, 121 55	6 11 19 32 31					
Age not reported	352	143		14		195						
Girls	5, 613	2, 647		341	 	2, 625						
Age reported	5, 560	2, 631	100	338	100	2, 591	100					
Under 10 years	222 382 1, 152 2, 711 1, 079 14	117 212 645 1,578 73 6	4 8 25 60 3 (³)	25 43 86 117 66 1	7 13 25 35 20 (³)	80 127 421 1,016 940 7	3 5 16 39 36					
Age not reported	53	16		3		34						
		1	1		1	1						

## Color and nativity.

Absence of information for the communities included as to age distribution according to color, nativity, and nativity of parents makes impossible a comparison of the percentages of white and colored children, native and foreign-born children, and children of foreign or mixed parentage coming before the courts, with the percentages of children of the same ages, and race, nativity, and parentage in the population of the area served by the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases. Although this exact comparison with children of juvenile-court age can not be made, comparisons with the total population in the reporting area are of interest.

In the total population 15 in the jurisdiction area 95 per cent were white and 5 per cent were colored, including both negro and other colored races. Table 4a shows, however, that the proportion of colored children appearing before the courts was 16 per cent, more than three times as large as in the total population. The percentage

of colored girls was slightly higher than the percentage of colored boys. Foreign-born white children constituted a very small proportion of the children before the courts in 1928. 16 (Table 4a.) Comparison

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

<sup>2</sup> Includes truancy cases in Westchester County, N. Y. (where jurisdiction to 17 years authorized by the state-wide education law is exercised); also certain cases of girls to 17 years in Hudson and Mercer Counties, N. J. (where the juvenile court may commit girls of this age to the State school for girls).

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Fourteenth Census of the United States, 1920, vol. 3, Population. Washington, 1922.
 <sup>16</sup> A larger percentage of the children reported in 1927 were classified as foreign born.

can not be made with the 24 per cent foreign-born white population in the jurisdiction area of the reporting courts since adults constitute a much larger percentage of the foreign-born than of the native-born population in the United States.

Table 4a.—Color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 1928 1

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases									
Color and nativity	To	otal	Во	ys	Girls					
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion				
Total	34, 764		29, 151		5, 613					
Color reported	34, 721	100	29, 111	100	5, 610	100				
White	651 3, 069	84 73 2 9 16	24, 620 21, 272 534 2, 814 4, 491 40	85 73 2 10 15	4, 450 4, 078 117 255 1, 160 3	79 73 2 5 21				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases. <sup>2</sup> Includes 16 boys and 2 girls colored other than negro.

The largest proportion of the delinquent children dealt with by the courts were native-born white boys and girls. Information as to the nativity of their parents was obtained for most of these children. Table 4b shows the nativity of parents reported for the native-born white boys and girls. An interesting difference is shown between the boys and the girls. Less than half of the girls (45 per cent) had parents one or both of whom were foreign born, and the percentage is slightly lower than that of the native-born females of foreign or mixed parentage in the white population of the reporting area (48 per cent). More of the boys (56 per cent) had parents at least one of whom was foreign born, and the percentage was much higher than that of the native-born males of foreign or mixed parentage in the white population of the reporting area (47 per cent). Accordingly, it may be said that the delinquency rate among native-born boys of foreign or mixed parentage was high.

Table 4B.—Nativity of parents of native white boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 19282

Nativity of parents	Children dealt with in delinquency cases									
	To	tal	Вс	ys	Girls					
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion				
Total	24, 135	100	20, 160	100	3, 975	100				
Native parentage Foreign or mixed parentage	11, 118 13, 017	46 54	8, 934 11, 226	44 56	2, 184 1, 791	55 45				

<sup>1</sup> Excludes those for whom nativity of parents was not reported.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases,

#### Where living when referred to court.

A rather striking difference is shown in Table 5 between the proportions of boys (71 per cent) and of girls (52 per cent) who were living with both their own parents at the time they committed the offenses for which they were brought to court in the first delinquency case disposed of during the year. This would seem to indicate that the lack of normal family life is a more significant factor in the delinquency of girls than of boys. The difficulties which bring girls into court are usually more serious in character and more closely related to home conditions than the difficulties of boys.

Table 5.—Whereabouts when referred to court in first case disposed of during the year for boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases									
Whereabouts of child	То	tal	Во	ys	Girls					
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion				
Total	34, 764		29, 151		5, 613					
Whereabouts reported	31, 264	100	26, 206	100	5, 058	100				
With both own parents With mother and stepfather. With father and stepmother. With mother only. With father only Adoptive home Other family home Institution Other.	1, 565 780 3, 890 1, 598 144 1, 624	68 5 2 12 5 (2) 5 1 1	18, 653 1, 201 607 3, 039 1, 246 96 1, 110 165 89	71 5 2 12 5 (2) 4 1 (2)	2, 610 364 173 851 352 48 514 62 84	52 7 3 17 7 1 10 1 2				
Whereabouts not reported	3, 500		2, 945		555	<b>-</b>				

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

2 Less than 1 per cent.

#### Previous court experience.

Only information regarding the number of times children were dealt with as delinquents in previous years, that is, prior to the first case disposed of during 1928, has been tabulated. Table 6 shows that 78 per cent of the boys and 87 per cent of the girls were before the court for the first time in 1928. Apparently the greater part of the work of the courts is with children dealt with for the first or the second time rather than with repeated offenders. The extent to which these children were before the courts more than once during 1928 is indicated by a comparison of the total number of delinquency cases disposed of in 1928 (38,882) with the number of children involved (34,764).

The courts were asked to report as a separate case each time a child was dealt with on a new offense. It is impossible to formulate a definition which does not permit some difference in the interpretation of "new offense." Some probation offices in dealing with a child who is under the care of the court and commits a new offense do not consider it a new case unless the new offense is so serious that the probation officer can not deal with it and refers the child to the judge.

Others consider as a new case the reference of a child to the judge for general lack of progress or adjustment of conditions that may have arisen, when no new offense may have been committed.

Table 6.—Number of times boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 courts during 1928 had been dealt with in delinquency cases prior to 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Children dealt with in delinquency cases									
Number of times dealt with in delin- quency cases prior to 1928	То	tal	Во	ys	Girls					
quency class print to 1520	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion				
Total	34, 764		29, 151		5, 613					
Reported whether dealt with	34, 609	100	29, 029	100	5, 580	100				
Number of times:  None One Two Three Four Five or more Not reported.	27, 524 3, 871 1, 468 655 320 357 414	80 11 4 2 1 1	22, 694 3, 388 1, 339 610 306 354 338	78 12 5 2 1 1	4, 830 483 129 45 14 3 76	87 9 2 1 (2) (2) (2)				
Not reported whether dealt with	155		122		33					

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

<sup>2</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

#### SOURCES OF COMPLAINT

The sources of complaint and especially the extent to which such sources as parents and relatives, other individuals, and social agencies refer cases to the courts are some indication of whether the court is regarded as the agency to deal with all conduct problems or only those more serious ones where authority which only the court has is needed. The percentage of cases referred from specified sources as shown in Table 7 is definitely affected by the inclusion of New York and Philadelphia. (See Table IV, p. 46.) The percentage of cases in which the source of complaint was the police was much higher in these two cities than the average for all other courts, and the percentage of complaints made by the school department was much lower.

Although some cases of delinquency come directly to the attention of probation officers, the number reported in 1928 is larger than would be expected. It is possible also that courts may have reported in some cases the person signing the petition rather than the person making the original complaint, thus reporting "probation officer" as the source in cases actually referred by others. The fact that in some courts serving rural districts probation officers are sometimes also law-enforcing officers in their communities may account for this in part.

Table 7.—Source of complaint in delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 1928

	Delinque	ncy cases
${\bf Source\ of\ complaint}$	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	38, 882	
ource of complaint reported	38, 798	100
Police Parents or relatives Other individual School department Probation officer Social agency Other	3, 639 5, 606 4, 186	5( 14 1
ource of complaint not reported	84	<b></b>

#### PLACES OF CARE PENDING HEARING OR DISPOSITION

The facilities used by the 62 courts for the detention of delinquent children varied greatly in different localities. Less than half the 62 courts reported the use of detention homes, and most of these were situated in cities or counties of 100,000 or more population. A number of the courts serving less populous districts which reported a few dependent or delinquent children held in a detention home may have used this name for some institution used primarily for another purpose. Courts that had no detention-home facilities provided for the detention of children in a variety of ways. The institutional resources of private agencies were used by a number of courts, notably by the New York City court. Boarding-home care had not been developed to any extent by any of the courts, although a few children were detained in boarding homes in a number of localities. Many of the courts using boarding homes also had detention-home facilities. A few courts stated that a "detention room" for children was located in the courthouse or in the jail. Detention in the same building as the jail was classified as detention in jail.

Table 8 shows that more than half the children were not detained, but were left in their own homes or their cases were disposed of on the day the complaint was made. For the children who were detained a marked difference is shown in the type of detention used for those under 16 years of age and for older children. A smaller percentage of the older children than of the younger children were detained in detention homes and other institutions, and a larger percentage were detained in jails. It is probable that a number of the children detained in jail were held for short periods, possibly not overnight, although the instruction to courts using the cards was that a child held for a few hours only should not be considered detained. Nevertheless, the detention of 1,305 children, 548 of whom were under 16 years of age, in jails and police stations shows the widespread use of these places for holding young children and the urgent need for more adequate provision for meeting this problem. The percentage of children detained in institutions other than detention homes reflects

the use of this method of detention by the New York City court, since more than nine-tenths of the children detained in "other institutions" were in New York City. (See Table V, p. 48.)

Table 8.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition, and ages of children represented in boys' and girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 19281

					De	linque	ncy ca	ses				
	То	tal					Age of	f child				
Place of care		Per		er 14 ars	14 y und	ears, er 16	16 ye unde	ears, er 18	18 y and	ears over		t re- ted
	Num- ber	cent distri- bu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion	Num- ber	Per cent dis- tribu- tion
Boys' cases	32, 822		15, 221		12, 965		4, 132		74		430	
Place of care reported	32, 540	100	15, 090	100	12, 847	100	4, 105	100	73	100	425	100
Own home or case disposed of same day Boarding home Detention home or	19, 250 68	59 (2)	9, 407 32	62 (2)	7, 122 26		2, 379 10	58 (²)	47	64	295	69
other institution 3  Detention home 3  Other institution  Jail or police station	11, 709 8, 813 2, 896 1, 195	36 27 9	3, 978	36 26 9	3,719	40 29 11 3	1,000 991 9 686	24 24 (²)	13 13	18 18	123 112 11 6	29 26 3
Only place of care One of the places of	1, 014	3	98	1	303	2	597	15		15	5	î
More than one place of	181	1	21	(2)	70	-	89	2			1	(2)
care 4 Other place of care	255 63	(2)	105 25	(2)	133 22	(2)	16 14	(2) (2)	2	3	1	(2)
Place of care not reported	282		131		118		27		1		5	
Girls' cases	6, 060		1, 897		2, 938		1, 149		15		61	
Place of care reported	5, 992	100	1, 876	100	2, 912	100	1, 130	100	14	(5)	60	100
Own home or case disposed of same day Boarding home Detention home or	3, 024 41	50 1	1, 124 11	60 1	1, 316 19	45 1	546 10	48 1	5		33 1	55 2
other institution 3 Detention home 3 _ Other institution Jail or police station Only place of care	2, 672 1, 873 799 110 93	45 31 13 2 2	697 447 250 13	37 • 24 13 1	1, 454 938 516 43 38	50 32 18 1	489 463 26 52 43	43 41 2 5 4	8 7 1 1 1		24 18 6 1	40 30 10 2
One of the places of care	17	(2)	2	(2)	5	(2)	9	1			1	2
care 4Other place of care	59 86	1 1	18 13	1 1	37 43	1 1	$\frac{4}{29}$	(2) 3			<u>1</u>	2
Place of care not reported	68		21		26		19		1		1	

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

#### CHARGES

Although an attempt is being made to secure uniformity in the use of terms, the charges on which children were dealt with as delinquents by the courts give a very incomplete picture of their behavior prob-lems. A child may have committed several offenses at or about the

Less than 1 per cent.

Less than 1 per cent.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.

Excludes cases of children held in jails, police stations, or detention homes.

Not shown, as number of cases is less than 50.

same time but be referred to the court on only one of them. The specific offense with which he is charged may be much less serious than offenses discovered in the course of the social investigation. When the case is investigated before the filing of a petition instead of afterward, the formal charge is usually more accurate, but even in such cases the offense stated in the complaint may reflect the desire of the court to protect the child. For instance, in some courts a girl is charged with incorrigibility instead of a sex offense, and Table VIa seems to show that a boy is sometimes charged with mischief instead of stealing. These differences in the attitudes and practices of the courts are shown very clearly by the character of the charges in the cases reported by each court. (See Tables VIa, VIb, pp. 50, 52.)

It is generally accepted that the offenses with which boys and girls are charged represent different delinquency problems. Table 9a shows that "stealing or attempted stealing" and "acts of carelessness or mischief" were the most usual charges in boys' cases, whereas the closely related charges of "running away," "ungovernable or beyond parental control," and "sex offense" appeared more often in

girls' cases.

Table 9a.—Charges in boys' and girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 1928 1

	Delinquency cases									
Charge	To	otal	Во	ys	Girls					
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution				
Total	38, 882		32, 822		6, 060					
Charge reported	38, 688	100	32, 667	100	6,021	100				
Stealing or attempted stealing	1, 857 4, 282 739 5, 134	38 5 11 2 13 7	14, 064 1, 831 4, 239 698 4, 729 2, 567	43 6 13 2 14 8	727 26 43 41 405 212	(2) 12 1 1 7 4				
Truancy Running away Ungovernable or beyond parental control Sex offense Injury or attempted injury to person Act of carelessness or mischief Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication Other charge	2, 913 3, 987 1, 722 1, 074 9, 625	9 8 10 4 3 25 1	2, 880 2, 005 2, 274 564 922 9, 146 340 472	9 6 7 2 3 28 1 1	752 908 1,713 1,158 152 479 65 67	12 15 28 19 3 8 1				
Charge not reported	194		155		.39					

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases,

Running away was a larger problem in New York City and in Philadelphia than in most of the other localities, and the inclusion of such cases from these courts materially increased the percentage of children charged with this offense. On the contrary, the number of children referred to these two courts on truancy charges was very small and correspondingly lowered the percentage of children charged with truancy.

The interests and pursuits of children of different ages are reflected in the types of offenses which they commit. Table 9b shows that the offenses committed by girls under 12 years of age corresponded more closely to those committed by boys of those ages than did the offenses of older girls. The percentage charged with "acts of carelessness and mischief" decreased steadily as the age of the children increased, while sex offenses and the violation of the liquor or drug law or intoxication, constituted an increasing percentage of the offenses charged in both boys' and girls' cases, from the lower to the higher age groups. A most interesting difference is shown in the ages of boys and girls charged with being ungovernable. The largest percentages of boys charged with this offense were in the age groups under 10 and 10, under 12 years, whereas among the girls the age group under 10 showed a smaller percentage than any other. Truancy among the boys and running away among the girls occurred more often among the children of 14, under 16 years, than among the children of any other age group. Stealing, the most common charge, appeared approximately in the same proportions of boys' cases in all age groups, although the type of stealing changed as the boys grew older.

Table 98.—Per cent distribution of charges reported in boys' and girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 courts during 1928, by age of child 1

			I	Delinqu	ency ca:	ses		
Charge				A	ge of chi	ild		
Charge	Total	Under 10 years	10 years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	16 years, under 18	18 years and over	Not re- ported
Boys' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Stealing or attempted stealing Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Robbery Other type of stealing Type of stealing not reported Truancy Running away Ungovernable or beyond parental control Sex offense Injury or attempted injury to person Act of carelessness or mischief Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication Other charge	43 6 13 2 14 8 9 6 7 2 3 28 1	39 1 14 15 8 6 5 8 2 3 35 (²)	45 1 15 2 18 8 7 7 8 1 3 29 (2)	46 3 15 2 17 9 8 6 6 7 1 3 28 (²)	43 8 12 2 13 8 11 6 7 2 3 26 1 2	39 12 9 2 11 5 9 5 6 6 3 3 28 4	49 15 16 1 8 8 7 7 1 3 5 4 18 14	26 2 7 1 9 7 . 6 15 3 2 2 42 2 2
Girls' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	(3)	100
Stealing or attempted stealing Automobile stealing Burglary or unlawful entry Robbery Other type of stealing Type of stealing not reported Truancy Running away Ungovernable or beyond parental control Sex offense Injury or attempted injury to person Act of carelessness or mischief Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication Other charge	12 (2) 1 1 7 4 12 15 28 19 3 8 1	15 1 1 1 1 8 8 3 9 6 14 8 7 40	23 (2) 2 1 12 7 14 10 24 7 4 18 (2) (?)	17 (2) 1 1 10 4 10 13 32 16 3 8 1	10 (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) 14 19 30 19 2 5 1	8 1 1 1 4 2 2 13 11 27 30 3 5 5 2 2 2		11 2 5 5 5 5 11 26 8 3 26

<sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

<sup>Less than I per cent.
Not shown, as number of cases is less than 50.</sup> 

#### DISPOSITIONS

#### Official cases.

Table 10a shows the extent to which different types of dispositions were used in official cases by the courts reporting delinquency cases. Placing the child on probation was the disposition most often used. The number of children whose cases were dismissed or continued indefinitely 17 was also large, as was the number committed to institutions. Only about one-eighth of the cases were disposed of in other ways than by one of these three methods. Although about the same percentage of boys and of girls were placed on probation, the percentage of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely was larger for boys than for girls, and the percentage of commitments to institutions was higher for girls. Other slight differences in the methods of dealing with boys' and girls' cases are shown in Table 10a.

Individual courts showed wide variation in the extent to which different types of dispositions were used. (See Tables VIIa, VIIb, pp. 55, 57.) Such variations are due in many instances to differences in court procedure and practice. For instance, the number of official cases dismissed or continued indefinitely is small if cases are investigated before the filing of a petition and trivial cases are dealt with unofficially or dropped. The proportion of cases in which the child is placed on probation is influenced by several factors, among them the number of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely upon first hearing, the extent to which unofficial probation is used, the local institutions available for short-time commitments, and the care with which children are selected for probation both as to those likely to profit by it and as to the court's facilities for giving adequate supervision.

The percentage of children's cases dismissed or continued indefinitely and the percentage of cases in which the children were committed to institutions were slightly affected by the cases reported from the New York and Philadelphia courts. The dispositions made by these courts as compared with those of all the other courts included a larger percentage of cases dismissed or continued indefinitely and a smaller percentage of children committed to institutions. The dispositions of the cases reported in 1927 compared fairly closely with those shown in Table 10a for 1928. In 1927 a slightly larger percentage of the cases were dismissed or continued indefinitely, with a corresponding decrease in those in which the children were placed on probation.

<sup>17</sup> The classification "case dismissed" was used for cases closed without further action, cases referred to other courts for commitment to institutions for the feeble-minded, and cases dismissed because of lack of jurisdiction in the juvenile court. Cases were considered as "continued indefinitely" when no further action was taken or supervision given the children, but when jurisdiction was maintained so that if a like situation arose later the case might be brought into court again without the filing of a new petition. Cases of children placed on probation to parents or committed to institutions with commitment suspended when no further action was contemplated were also classed as "continued indefinitely."

Table 10a.—Disposition in boys' and girls' official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 courts during 1928 1

	Official delinquency cases						
Disposition	То	tal	Во	ys	Girls		
	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	
Total	27,885		23, 399		4, 486		
Disposition reported	27, 863	100	23, 379	100	4, 484	100	
Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed on probation Child committed to institution	8, 039 11, 914 4, 419	29 43 16	7, 046 10, 054 3, 241	30 43 14	993 1,860 1,178	22 41 26	
State institution for delinquent children. Other institution for delinquent chil-	1, 792	6	1, 334	6	458	10	
drenType of institution for delinquent chil- dren not reported	2, 136 292	8	1, 536 235	7	600 57	13	
Other institution	199	1	136	1	63	1	
Restitution, fine, or costs Fine imposed or payment of costs or-	1, 776	6	1, 715	7	61	1	
dered Restitution or reparation ordered	1 383	5 1	1, 334 381	$^{6}_{2}$	49 12	(²)	
Other dispositionChild placed under supervision of in-	1, 715	6	1, 323	6	392	9	
dividual other than probation officer Child committed to board, department,	419	2	335	1	84	2	
or agency. Child returned home <sup>3</sup> Child referred for criminal prosecution Child otherwise cared for	963 135 55 143	(2) (2) 1	743 84 52 109	(2) (2) (2)	220 51 3 34	(2) 5 1	
Disposition not reported	22		20		2		

Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases. Of these 61 courts only 57 reported girls' official delinquency cases.

Less than 1 per cent.
 Applies only to runaways or children living away from own home at time referred to court.

Except for the larger percentage of boys under 10 ye

Both the age of a child and the character of his offense affect the disposition of his case. Table 10b shows the dispositions of the cases by the age of the child, and Table 10c shows the relation between the offenses charged and the disposition of the cases.

whose cases were dismissed or continued indefinitely and the increasing percentage who were committed to institution higher age period, no significant variations occur in the dispositions made of cases of boys under 16 years of age. A comparison of the dispositions in the cases of boys 16 and over and of each age group under 16 shows that a smaller percentage in the older than in the younger groups were dismissed or continued indefinitely or were placed on probation. In a larger percentage of the cases of older boys commitments to institutions were made or fines were imposed or costs ordered. The percentage of cases of boys 16 or over referred for criminal prosecution was small, and these constitute the majority of

Possibly because of the differences in the kinds of offenses with which girls under 12 were charged as compared with older girls, a much larger percentage of the cases of girls in the age groups under 10 and 10, under 12 years of age were dismissed or continued indefinitely.

the cases dealt with in this way.

As in boys' cases, commitment to institutions constituted an increasing percentage of the dispositions from the lower to the higher age periods.

Table 10b.—Per cent distribution of disposition reported for each age group of boys and girls referred in official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 courts during

			Offic	ial delir	quency	cases		
N				ild				
Disposition	Total	Un- der 10 years	10 years, under 12	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	16 years, under 18	18 years and over	Age not re- ported
Boys' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	(2)	100
Dismissed or continued indefinitely		39 42 7 2 4	31 46 12 3 7	30 45 13 4 7	30 43 15 6 7	23 36 19 13 4		49 26 6 3 2
not reportedOther institution	1	(3) 2	1 1	(3)	(3)	1		1
Restitution, fine, or costs	7 6 2	6 3 3	6 5 2	7 5 2	7 5 1	12 11 2		13 6 7
Other dispositionChild placed under supervision of individ-	6	5	5	5	5	10		5
ual other than probation officer. Child committed to board, department, or agency. Child returned home <sup>4</sup> Child referred for criminal prosecution. Child otherwise cared for.	(3)	1 4 (3) (3)	3 (3) (3) (3) (3)	3 (3) (3)	3 (3) (3) (3) (3)	3 3 2 2 2 2		2 2 1 2
Girls' cases	100	100	100	100	100	100	(2)	(2)
Dismissed or continued indefinitely	41	55 29 9 2 6	33 40 17 5 9	20 44 24 9 13	21 44 27 10 14	18 35 32 15 15		
not reportedOther institution		<u>î</u>	1 1	1 1	1 1	$\frac{1}{2}$		
Restitution, fine, or costsFine imposed or payment of costs ordered Restitution or reparation ordered	1 1 (3)	1 1	1	1 1 1	(3)	1 1		
Other dispositionChild placed under supervision of individ-	9	6	9	11	7	13		
ual other than probation officer. Child committed to board, department, or agency. Child returned home 4. Child referred for criminal prosecution. Child otherwise cared for.	(3)	3 2	3 5 1 (3)	3 7 1	1 4 1 (3)	3 6 2 (3) 2		

<sup>1</sup> Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases; of these 51 courts only 57 courts reported girls' official delinquency cases.

2 Not shown as number of cases is less than 50.

3 Less than 1 per cent.

4 Applies only to runaways or children living away from home at time referred to court.

Table 10c.—Per cent distribution of disposition reported for each type of charge on which boys and girls were referred in official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 courts during 1928 1

- 11			Charge not re- ported	100	25. 4. 4. 1. 6. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	-1-1		€	
			Other charge	100	211 21 8 2 3 (2) 3	5	11 8 (2) (2)	€	
			Violating liquor or drug law or intoxication	100	888 848	222		€	
		to court	Act of careless- ness or mischief	100	55 21 2 1 1 1 (3)	20 17 2	(2)	100	22 8 8 4 4 4
	Official delinquency cases	Charge on which referred to court	Injury or at- tempted injury to person	100	45 34 30 10 5 5 4 4 4	1-40	(2) (2) (2)	100	11226
	delinque	n which	Sex	100	22 16 17 7	(5) 4 4	(2) (2) (2)	100	22,28,331
	Official	Charge o	Ungov- ernable or beyond parental control	100	23 22 9 11 12 1		(2) (3) (2)	100	26 26 26 26 26 27 27 27
			Run- ning away	100	24 1 1 1 2 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 2 2 1 1 2 2 1	£	11 2 2 3	100	18 26 26 8 16 1
			Tru-	100	27 40 15 10 10	44	14 1 (2) (2) (2) (2)	100	33 33 1 1
			Steal- ing or at- tempted stealing	100	20 25 71 88 11	400	(\$(\$(\$))	100	20 56 15 4 4 (2)
			Total	100	30 14 14 6 7	1001	333 310	100	22 26 10 13 11 11
							ision of individual other than probation officer , department, or agency prosecution.	-	

(3) (7) 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(a) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (d) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e
2 3 3	6 13 12 2 2 3 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
3	(2) 1 (2) 1 (3)
Restitution, fine, or costs	Other disposition.  Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer  Child committed to board, department, or agency Child returned home 3.  Child returned for criminal prosecution.

1 Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases. Of these 61 courts only 57 courts reported girls' official delinquency cases. 1 Applies only 10 crumways or children living away from home at time referred to court. A policional volume as number of cases is less than 50.

Table 10c shows that with a few striking exceptions the treatment for different types of offenses was quite similar in boys and girls' cases. Dismissal or indefinite continuance was the type of disposition most often used when the charge was injury or attempted injury to person, act of carelessness or mischief, and in a group of miscellaneous charges classed as "other." Probation was the most usual disposition for both boys and girls charged with stealing running away, and being ungovernable or beyond parental control. In cases of children committing sex offenses the contrast between the methods of dealing with boys and girls is marked, probation being used most often for boys and commitment to an institution for girls. In truancy cases the most usual disposition for girls was dismissal or indefinite continuance, and for boys placement on probation.

#### Unofficial cases.

Thirty-nine of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases disposed of cases unofficially, one court having dealt with all its cases in this way. Table 11 shows that a large percentage of these cases were dealt with either by adjusting the difficulty or apparently by dropping the case without action of any sort. A small percentage of the children were placed on unofficial probation, and a still smaller group were referred to institutions and agencies. Runaways returned home also constituted a small percentage of the cases.

Table 11.—Disposition in boys' and girls' unofficial delinquency cases dealt with by 39 courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Unofficial delinquency cases						
Disposition	То	tal	В	oys	Girls		
• .	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	Number	Per cent distri- bution	
Total	10, 997		9, 423		1, 574		
Disposition reported	10, 919	100	9, 360	100	1 559	100	
Difficulty adjusted	5, 677 1, 176 522	52 11 5	4, 960 1, 002 385	53 11 4	717 174 137	46 11 9	
Placement of child in institution recom- mended	299 59 239	3 1 2	253 50 165	3 1 2	46 9 74	3 1 5	
Other disposition 3		27	2, 545	27	402	26	
Disposition not reported	78		63	 	15		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 39 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported unofficial delinquency cases; 37 of the 39 reported boys' cases and 34 reported girls' cases.

Applies only to runaways or children living away from own home at time referred to court.

The majority of these cases were dismissed, dropped, or closed with a warning.

#### DEPENDENCY AND NEGLECT CASES

Dependency and neglect cases constituted a smaller part of the work of the courts than delinquency cases except in 11 to 6 the smaller courts. Ten courts dealing with delinquent children did not report dependency and neglect cases. The practice in some courts of filing the complaint against the adult responsible for dependency or neglect instead of instituting proceedings in the name of the child is one of the factors influencing this situation. In some localities only those cases of dependency and neglect requiring commitment or other legal adjudication of custody or of parental obligation were brought as a rule to the attention of the court, whereas in other communities the court was the principal or only local agency caring for such children. As 45 per cent of the dependency and neglect cases were reported by the New York and Philadelphia courts, the methods used by these courts in dealing with such cases definitely affect the total figures.

#### CHILDREN INVOLVED IN THE CASES

The 16,289 dependency and neglect cases represented 15,825 children in 8,153 families. Tables 12, 13a, 13b, and 14 show the age, sex, race, nativity, parentage, and whereabouts of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases. Nearly as many girls as boys were dealt with in these cases. The numbers of children of all age groups under 16 years coming before the courts showed little variation. The number who were 14 or over was slightly smaller than the number in the lower age groups, although all the courts had jurisdiction over dependency and neglect cases involving children under 16 years of age and some had jurisdiction over children to 18 years of age.

A comparison of Tables 13a and b with Tables 4a and b shows some interesting contrasts in the color, nativity, and parentage of children dealt with in dependency or neglect cases and in delinquency As to color a slightly larger proportion of the dependent or neglected children than of the delinquent children were white, although the percentage of colored children referred to the court for these causes as well as for delinquency was about three times as high as the percentage of colored persons (5 per cent) in the total population served by the courts. The percentage of foreign-born children was even smaller in dependency and neglect cases than in delinquency A significant difference shown in Tables 13b and 4b is that the proportion of the native-born children of native parentage referred to the courts because of dependency or neglect was much larger than the proportion of the same ancestry who were before the court because of delinquency.

Nearly three-fourths of the children dealt with by the courts in dependency or neglect cases came from families in which the home had been broken by death, divorce, desertion, or other cause. (Table 14.)

Another court reported only dependency cases.
 Cases of mothers' allowances, which frequently are administered by courts, are not included in the abulations.

Table 12.—Ages of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928

. Age of child		Children dealt with in depend- ency and neglect cases		
	Number	Per cent distri- bution		
Total	15, 825			
Age reported	15, 540	100		
Under 2 years. 2 years, under 4 4 years, under 6 6 years, under 8 8 years, under 10. 10 years, under 12 12 years, under 14 14 years, under 16	2, 069 2, 259 2, 075 1, 837 1, 763	12 13 13 15 13 12 11		
16 years and over	285	1		

Table 13a.—Color and nativity of boys and girls dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928 1

	Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases								
Color and nativity	То	tal	Во	ys	Girls				
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion			
Total	15, 825		8, 129		7, 696				
Color reported	15, 819	100	8, 125	100	7, 694	100			
White. Native. Foreign born. Nativity not reported.		86 82 1 3	7, 018 6, 698 72 248	86 82 1 3	6, 587 6, 284 85 218	86 82 1			
Colored 2	2, 214	14	1, 107	14	1, 107	14			
Color not reported	6		4		2				

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Only 51 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported both boys' and girls' cases; 1 court reported only boys' cases, and 1 court reported only girls' cases.  $^2$  Includes 1 boy and 2 girls colored other than negro.

Table 13b.—Nativity of parents of native white boys and girls <sup>1</sup> dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928 <sup>2</sup>

	Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases								
Nativity of parents	Total		Во	ys .	Girls				
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	Number	Per cent distribu- tion			
Total	12, 775	100	6, 590	100	6, 185	100			
Native parentage Foreign and mixed parentage	7, 852 4, 923	61 39	4, 029 2, 561	61 39	3, 823 2, 362	62 38			

 <sup>1</sup> Exclusive of those for whom nativity of parents was not reported.
 2 Only 51 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported both boys' and girls' cases;
 1 court reported only boys' cases, and 1 court reported only girls' cases.

Table 14.—Whereabouts when referred to court in first case disposed of during the year for children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 courts during 1928

Whereabouts of child	with i	Children dealt with in depend- ency and neglect cases		
	Number	Per cent distri- bution		
Total	15, 825			
Whereabouts reported.	13, 309	100		
With both own parents. With mother and stepfather With father and stepmother With mother only With father only Adoptive home. Other family home. Institution. Other	282 274 4, 107 2, 378 103 2, 299	28 2 2 30 17 1 17 3		
Whereabouts not reported	1,916			

#### SOURCES OF COMPLAINT AND CHARGES

Since several children in a family may be referred to court at the same time on the same charge and from the same source, the family rather than the child has been used as the base of comparison in Tables 15 and 16. Each family was counted only once for each time it was dealt with by the court on a new charge involving one or more of the children.

It is to be expected that social agencies would be one of the most important sources of reference in dependency and neglect cases. In some localities the court prefers to have such cases investigated first by a social agency so that only those actually needing court action are brought to court. In other localities the court undertakes the initial work and receives complaints from any interested persons, including parents and relatives. Table 15 shows that in the areas reporting, complaints were filed in about equal proportions by social agencies and by parents and relatives, these two groups being the source of complaint in three-fourths of the cases.

In more than a third of the cases the charge specified some form of neglect on the part of parents or guardians (abandonment or desertion, abuse or cruel treatment, improper conditions in the home). A still larger proportion of the families were referred for dependency primarily. The courts were asked to interpret the term "insufficient parental care," as well as "financial need," as inability rather than neglect to provide for children. Less than one-tenth of the families were referred to the court for consideration of problems related to the custody of children and a slightly smaller proportion were referred for "other" reasons.

Table 15.—Source of complaint on which families were referred to court in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

		referred in ency and cases
Source of complaint	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total.	8, 153	
Source of complaint reported	8, 122	100
Social agency. Parents or relatives. Other individual. Police. Probation officer School department Other.	2, 975 508 602 587	38 37 6 7 7 3
Source of complaint not reported	31	

Table 16.—Charges on which families were referred in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

	depend	Families referred in dependency and neglect cases		
Charge	Number	Per cent distri- bution		
Total	8, 153			
Charge reported	7, 161	100		
Abandonment or desertion. Abuse or cruel treatment.	248	12		
Improper conditions in home	2, 573	22 36		
Financial need. Question of custody Other	859 563 468	12 8 7		
Charge not reported	992			

#### PLACES OF CARE PENDING HEARING OR DISPOSITION

The detention of dependent and neglected children presents problems different from those involved in the detention of delinquent children. All the courts reporting the use of detention homes used also boarding homes or institutions other than detention homes. Although a number of courts used "other institutions" for the detention of children, four-fifths of the cases of children so detained were in New York City and Philadelphia. (See Table X, p. 64.) As is shown by a comparison of Table 8 and Table 17, the percentage of children who were left in their own homes or whose cases were disposed of on the same day was only slightly larger in dependency and neglect cases than in delinquency cases.

Table 17.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition of dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

Place of care	Dependency and neglect cases	
	Number	Per cent distri- bution
Total	16, 289	
Place of care reported	15, 974	- 100
Own home or case disposed of same day  Boarding home  Detention home or other institution '  Detention home '  Other institution	736 5, 013 1, 539	61 5 31 10 22
Jail or police station Only place of care One of the places of care	14	(2) (2) (2)
More than 1 place of care 3 Other place of care	167 361	1 2
Place of care not reported	315	

¹ Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.
² Less than 1 per cent.

<sup>3</sup> Excludes cases of children held in jails, police stations, or detention homes.

#### DISPOSITIONS

Although the majority of the dependency and neglect cases were official, 28 courts reported some unofficial cases. The extent to which individual courts dealt unofficially with dependency and neglect cases varied considerably. (See Table I, p. 33.) Although the Philadelphia court had more official than unofficial cases, the unofficial cases reported by this court constituted one-half of the total unofficial cases.

As is shown by Tables 18 and 19, some definite action such as committing the child to an institution or agency or placing him under supervision of the court or some individual was taken in three-fourths of the official cases, whereas placement or supervision of the child was advised in only one-fifth of the unofficial cases. Two-thirds of the unofficial cases were disposed of by making some adjustment of the difficulties involved.

Table 18.—Disposition in official dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 courts during 1928

Disposition	Official dependency and neglect cases	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	13, 464	
Disposition reported	13, 463	100
Dismissed or continued indefinitely Child placed under court supervision Child placed under supervision of individual other than probation officer Child committed to board, department, or agency State agency Other agency Type of agency not reported	3, 111 999 3, 551 676	20 23 7 26 5 21
Child committed to institution State institution for dependents. Other institution for dependents Type of institution for dependents not reported Institution for delinquent children Institution for feeble-minded or epileptic children Institution for physically handicapped children Other institution.	170 2, 377 179 52 19 81	22 1 18 1 (1) (1) (1)
Other disposition	137	1
Disposition not reported	1	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Table 19.—Disposition in unofficial dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 28 courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

Disposition	Unofficial depend- ency and neglect cases	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	2, 825	
Disposition reported.	2,767	100
Difficulty adjusted Referred to agency or other court Placement of child in institution recommended Placement of child elsewhere recommended Child placed under supervision of probation officer	62 107 103	65 11 2 4 4
Other disposition <sup>2</sup> .  Disposition not reported	404 58	15

Only 28 of the 53 courts reporting cases of dependency and neglect reported unofficial dependency and neglect cases.
The majority of these cases were dismissed, dropped, or closed with a warning.

# CASES OF CHILDREN DISCHARGED FROM PROBATION OR SUPERVISION

Cases of delinquent children discharged from probation were reported by 45 courts and cases of dependent or neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 courts, 2 reporting only cases discharged from probation or supervision and not reporting cases of children coming before the court during the year. The majority of the cases were official; only 621 of the 8,493 probation cases and 21 of

the 1,936 supervision cases were unofficial.

No constant relation seems to exist between the number of children placed on probation or under supervision by the different courts and the number discharged from probation or supervision. XIII, XVI, pp. 70, 74.) In three courts the number discharged from probation was larger than the number placed under the care of probation In most courts, however, the number placed on probation exceeded the number discharged. Some courts apparently do not terminate probation at any definite time but allow cases gradually to In these courts cases may remain on the list or index become inactive. of active cases long after active supervision of the child has ceased and are reported "discharged" from care only at times of general review of the files. Unless this review is made at regular intervals the number of cases discharged may vary greatly from year to year. In a few courts, notably in New York City, the only cards filled out for cases discharged from care were for children who had come to the attention of the court during the time that the Children's Bureau cards had been in use. As contact with some of the cases may have extended beyond this period, the number reported as discharged is

Tables 20 and 21 show that a large proportion of the children under care of the probation departments were discharged because of improvement in conduct or because further supervision seemed unnecessary. About a tenth of the delinquent children, however, were discharged because of having reached the age limit of court jurisdiction rather than voluntarily discharged because of good behavior. Failure of probation as indicated by commitment to an institution for delinquent children is shown in about one-seventh of the cases. Some interesting differences are shown in Tables XIV and XVII (pp. 71, 75) as to the duration of the probation or supervision period in children's cases in different courts.

Table 20.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 courts during 1928

Reason for discharge	ehildi	delinquent ren dis- d from pro-	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion	
Total	8, 493		
Reason for discharge reported	8, 483	100	
Further supervision not recommended, or discharged with improvement before reaching age limit. Child committed to institution Institution for delinquent children Other institution Child committed to agency or individual. Child reached age limit Other reason Transferred to other court. Whereabouts unknown Moved from jurisdiction of court Other.	95 377 866 725 11 218	63 14 13 1 4 10 9 (1) 3 3	
Reason for discharge not reported	10		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Table 21.—Reason for discharge in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 courts during 1928 \(^1\)

Reason for discharge	Cases of dependent and neglected children dis- charged from supervision	
	Number	Per cent distribu- tion
Total	1, 936	
Reason for discharge reported	1, 931	100
Further supervision not recommended, or discharged with improvement before age limit. Child committed to institution Child committed to agency Child committed to individual Child reached age limit. Other reason.	244 117 183	61 13 6 .9 2
Reason for discharge not reported	5	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting cases of dependency and neglect reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision.

## APPENDIX A.—COURTS FURNISHING STATISTICAL MATERIAL FOR 1928

Cards were received from 64 courts in 16 States and the District of Columbia for the entire calendar year 1928, and tables were prepared by 1 court (Philadelphia). The names of these courts with the largest city or town in the area served by each court are as follows:

served by each court are as follows.	
Connecticut:	Largest city or town in area served
Juvenile court of the city of Bridgeport	Bridgeport.
Juvenile court of Hartford	Hartford.
District of Columbia: Juvenile court of the District of	
Columbia	Washington.
Indiana:	
Juvenile court of Adams County	
Juvenile court of Clark County	
Juvenile court of Clay County	
Juvenile court of Jennings County	North Vernon.
Juvenile court of Lake County	
Juvenile court of Marion County	Indianapolis.
Juvenile court of Monroe County	Bloomington.
Juvenile court of Montgomery County	
Juvenile court of Steuben County	Angola.
Juvenile court of Vermillion County	
Juvenile court of Wayne County	
Iowa: Polk County juvenile court	Des Moines.
Louisiana:	
Juvenile court of Caddo Parish	
Juvenile court, Parish of Ouachita	Monroe.
Minnesota:	
Juvenile court of Hennepin County	
Juvenile court of Ramsey County	
Juvenile court of St. Louis County (southern part)	
Missouri: Juvenile court of Jackson County	Kansas City.
New Jersey:	
Juvenile court of the county of Hudson	
Juvenile court of the county of Mercer	Trenton.
New York:	
Children's court of Buffalo	
Chemung County children's court	
Clinton County children's court	
Columbia County children's court	Hudson.
Delaware County children's court	Walton.
Erie County children's court	
Franklin County children's court	
Monroe County court, children's division	
Children's court of the city of New York	
Ontario County court, children's part	
Orange County children's court	
Orleans County children's court	
Westchester County children's court	Yonkers.

	Largest city or town in area
North Carolina:	served
Juvenile court of Buncombe County	
Winston-Salem juvenile court	Winston-Salem.
Ohio:	C. 35
Juvenile court of Auglaize County	· ·
Juvenile court of Clark County	
Juvenile court, county of Cuyahoga	
Franklin County juvenile court	Columbus.
Common-pleas court of Hamilton County, division of	
domestic relations, juvenile court, and marital rela-	
tions	Cincinnati.
Juvenile court of Lake County	Painesville.
Common-pleas court of Mahoning County, division of	
domestic relations	Youngstown.
Court of common pleas, division of domestic relations,	
Montgomery County	Dayton.
Juvenile court of Sandusky County	Fremont.
Pennsylvania:	
Juvenile court of Allegheny County	Pittsburgh.
Juvenile court of Berks County	Reading.
Juvenile court of Lycoming County	Williamsport.
Juvenile court of Montgomery County	Norristown.
Municipal court of Philadelphia, juvenile division	Philadelphia.
South Carolina: Children's court of Greenville County	Greenville.
Texas: Juvenile court of Orange County	Orange.
Utah:	
Juvenile court, First district 1	Logan.
Juvenile court, Second district 2	
Juvenile court, Third district 3	Salt Lake City.
Juvenile court, Fourth district 4	
Juvenile court, Fifth district 5	
Juvenile court, Carbon County	
Juvenile courts, other counties 6	
Virginia:	· ·
Juvenile and domestic relations court of Lynchburg	Lynchburg.
Juvenile and domestic relations court of Norfolk	
Juvenile and domestic relations court of Roanoke	
County	Salem.
Washington: Juvenile court of Pierce County	Tacoma.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Cache, Boxelder, and Rich Counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Weber, Morgan, and Davis Counties.

<sup>3</sup> Salt Lake, Summit, and Tooele Counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Utah, Juab, and Wasatch Counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Piute, Sanpete, Sevier, and Wayne Counties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Beaver, Duchesne, Emery, Garfield, Grand, Iron, Kane, Millard, San Juan, Uintah, and Washington Counties.

## APPENDIX B.—SOURCE TABLES

TABLE I.—Number of boys' and girls' official delinquency cases and number and per cent of unofficial delinquency cases, and number of children of specified courts during 1928

						Delinque	Delinquency cases						Deper	ndency a	Dependency and neglect cases	cases
		T	Total			Ř	Boys			Ü	Girls				Unofficial	ìcial
Court			Unofficial	icial			Unofficial	Scial			Unof	Unofficial	Total	Official		
	Total	Official	Number Per cent	er cent	Total	Official	Number Per cent	Per cent <sup>1</sup>	Total	Official	Number Per cent	Per cent1			Number Per cent	Per cent
Total	38,882	27, 885	10, 997	58	32, 822	23, 399	9, 423	29	6,060	4, 486	1, 574	26	16, 289	13, 464	2,825	17
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartlord Hartlord District of Columbia	431 552 2,004	319 440 1, 265	112 112 739	350 37 37	354 491 1,692	265 390 1,063	89 101 629	25 21 37	77 61 312	54 50 202	23 11 110	30 18 35	69 144 533	56 142 533	13	19
Indiana: Clark County	26	25	1		18	18 22			4100	41-	1		16	16		
Lake County.  Marion County.	822 41		217	22	306 534	492 16	24°8	26 8	148 288 19	113	21 175 7	14 61	322	322	43	40
Montgomery County	30 11		ကြည်		25	10 00	174		00 to	က	ະຕະ ເວ		21	11	10	
Vermillion County Wayne County	-1 89 -1 753	12 13 293	77 17 460	87	53 20 590	9 250	44 13 370	83	36 10 163	200	90	55	630	269	361	57
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	232	111	121	52 72	194	88	106	55	38	86	15		85 115	61 29	24 86	21. 25. 25.
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	1, 149	1,149			896 298	896 298		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	253	253			336 135	336 135	1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 3 3 5 5 1 5 1 1 3 1 1 1 1
part)	291	162	129	44	242	142	100	41	49	20	53	1	Ç	946	H	
New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	1,850	1,850			1,588	1,588		1 6	262	262			1 1	1 1		

<sup>1</sup> Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

Table I.—Number of boys' and girls' official delinquency cases and number and per cent of unofficial delinquency cases, and number of children's official and unofficial dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 63 specified courts during 1928—Continued

						Delinque	Delinquency cases	s					Deper	здепсу в	Dependency and neglect cases	t cases
		Ī	Total			ğ	Boys			Ü	Girls				Unoi	Unofficial
Court			Unofficial	ficial			Unoí	Unofficial			Unot	Unofficial	Total	Official		
	Total	Official	Number Per cent	Per cent	Total	Official	Number Per cent	Percent	Total	Otheral	Number Per cent	Percent			Number	Number Fercent
New York:  Buffalo  Cheunung County Columbia County Columbia County Eric County Nouroe County Nouroe County Nouroe County Orange County Orange County Orange County Westchester County Westchester County Winston-Salem Onio: Buncombe County Winston-Salem Onio: Anglate County Clark County Franklin County Clark County Franklin County Hamilton County Eranklin County Mahoning County Anglates County Mahoning County Ranklin County Ranklin County Ranklin County Mahoning County Ranklin County	938 124 25 25 65 10 10 10 10 10 888 888 13 13 13 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	938 1124 165 100 107 100 17,202 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120 120	111 11 11 106 106 106 1,019 1,019 1,448 1,448 1,448 1,448 1,448	0 40 100 100 333 333 333 333 333 333 333 33	870 980 981 981 982 983 984 985 985 985 985 985 985 985 985	•	8 8 1 1 104 700 1,019 1,248 1,248 1,248	14 89 888 82458	288 282 282 283 284 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286 286	288 288 288 288 288 288 288 288 28 28 28	33 200 200 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9	33.4 36	25 11 11 15 11 16	11170 11180	0.8 88 4.0 1.1 8.1.2 44.0 4.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8 8.8	3 4 40 (61 119 119 125 225 22 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Allegheny County Berks County Lycoming County Mongomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County,	1, 243 103 13 65 65 105	1, 243 197 13 65 3, 371 86	2, 829	6 46 18	1, 033 77 6 6 5, 411	1, 033 73 6 6 2, 843 76	2, 568	5 47 12	210 26 7 11 789 19	210 24 7 7 11 11 528 10	2 261	333	1,018 31 3,744 126	1, 018 31 2, 283 66	1, 461	39

						JU
		16		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	9	
		23	-1	4	∞	
es	-	122	-10	-	30 224	×6
3	-	145	14	50	30	×5
	83	94			3	
	<u>c</u> 6	4.4	23.	11	4	
	6 88	24 ×	0.10	18	34 142	322
	51	116	282	29	34 146	32.2
	75	712	45	24		
81	222	206	193	202		
7	174	39	232	162	523	122
6	296 289	260	425	212	245 523	122
	38	202	48	25	1	
7	264	580 261	216	61	4	
7	197	245	237	180	665	154
6					279 669	154
Texas: Orange CountyUtah:	First district	Third district Fourth district	Fifth district	Other counties	Lynchburg	Washington: Pierce County

<sup>2</sup> Includes official cases for 12 months and unofficial cases for 9 months.

Table IIA.—Age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 specified courts during 1928 and age limit of original jurisdiction of

						Child	ren dealt	with in de	Children dealt with in delinquency cases	. cases					
Age limit of original furisdiction, sex of							Age	Age of child reported	ported						
child, and court	Total	Ę	Under 1	0 years	Under 16 years, under 12 12 years, under 14 14 years, under 16 16 years, under 18 18 years and over	under 12	12 years,	under 14	14 years, t	inder 16	16 years, 1	inder 18	18 years a		Age not re- ported
		r orar	Number	Per cent²	Number Per cent? Number Per ceut? Number Per cent? Number Per cent? Number Per cent? Number Per cent?	Per cent	Number	Per cent <sup>2</sup>	Number	er cent	Number 1	er cent2	Number	Per cent <sup>2</sup>	
COURTS WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER 16 YEARS															
Boys	17,096	16,953	1,308	œ	2, 706	16	5,062	30	7, 699	45	173	1	2	(3)	143
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Harlford.	341	333 476	34 76	10	69	21 19	98	30	132 166	40					s =
Clay County Clay County Lake County	291 291	5 18 291	2.1	00	1 52	18	98	33	119	41		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

1 Only 59 of the 62 counts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases. A Not shown where number of children is less than 59.

Loss than 1 per cent.

Table IIA.—Age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 specified courts during 1928 and age limit of original jurisdiction of court—Continued

						Child	Children dealt with in delinquency cases	with in de	dinquency	r cases					
A va limit of original invisitation sev of							Age	Age of child reported	ported						
ehild, and court	Total		Under	Under 10 years	10 years,	10 years, under 12	12 years,	12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16	under 16	16 years, under 18	under 18	18 years and over		Age not re- ported
		Total	Number	Per cent	Number Per cent Number Per cent	Per cent	Number Per cent Number Per cent	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per eent	Number Per eent Number Per cent	Per cent	
COURTS WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER 16 YEARS—COntinued												,			
Marion County	19	412	127	9	¥.80	12	105	24	252	57	4	1			
Montgomery CountySteuben County	0 th	98,5	ග ග ග	4	-1-4	61	ω <b>4</b> σ	81	0100 8	64		2			2
Wayne County	18	8.8	1	1	001		νc		Ξ			1			
New Jersey: Hudson County	1,300	1, 296	114 27	9	242	19 20	416	282	516 92	40	∞ <del></del>	3	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	17
New York: Buffalo Cheming Compty	761	758	46	9 19	102	13	241 22	32	360	47	6 -1				88 23
Clinton County Columbia County	147	14	œ		ত। ব		13	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	23						
Delaware County Erie County	176	8 176	- 7	× ×	- 63	18	C1 32 (	27	F 28.	46	-				
Franklin County Monroe County New York City.	37 171 5,931	36 171 5, 900	333 3	9	843	6	12 51 1, 782	80	106 106 2,938	50	4	(8)	1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	31
Ontario County. Orange County.	3.53		10	12	010	12	27.90	33	35	43					000
Vestchester County.	715	712	27 23	7	100	14	206	29	301	42	53	7		1 1	ာက
North Carolina: Buncombe County	92	87	6 ° 8	10	15	17 22	25 76	33	37	43 33	1	1			52
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County	892	891	69 9	∞∞	131 19	. 15	289	32 25	381	43	19	2	2	(3)	1
Lyconing County.  Montgomery County.  Philadelphia.  South Carolina: Greenville County	4, 371 4, 79	4, 317 75	389 10	139	10 759 19	20 18 25	1, 238 27	38 29 36	20 1,858 18	40 43 24	70	1	က	(3)	54

16			l l	7				2	' ! !		1		T	9		14	1	13		m		ಣ
©											-	61		(3)		(3)		1	61	(3)		
9												4		2		ಣ			20	-		
3		-					(8)		20	1	-	10		5		21	20	58	19	20	18	
73		C7					60		787			19	*	16		379	293	8	38	99	48	0.8
90	57	52	57				76	1 1	51	1	- 22	54		09		34	34	37	788	35	33	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
1, 578	43 34	119	36	0,70	2 67	12 4	38	12	72	∞	17	96	501	403 8		622	505	65	99	1117	8	13
25	21 34	30	27				16 25		20	1	37	56		23		24	25	17	02	25	27	1 1
645	. 16 21	69	17	000	9	m 01	227	5	28	10	53	47	100	152		432	929	30	4	98	7.1	10
∞	14	6	13				-100		4		18	9		∞		13	13	10	12	13	77	
212	111	21	∞-	, c	9		67		9		11	=-	1	56		239	194	17	4.4	43	37	C1 44
4	8	7	m				က		10		18	П		9		6	1-	00	20	1-	œ	
1117	9	16	67-	1		7	26		1-	-	1	2	1	40 83		162	108	14	39	25	22	
2, 631	76 61	227 19	63	2	20	16	918	17	141	14	62	182	9 9	11 669		1,837	1,456	174	198	338	267	35
2, 647	76 61	228 19	63	317	7 67	16	921	17	141	14	62	183	19;	675 19		1,851	1, 457	187	861	341	267	38
, Girls	Connecticut: Bridgoport Hartford	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	New York: Buffalo Chaming County	Clinton County	Delaware County	Erie County Franklin County	Monroe County	Ontario County	Orleans County	North Carolina: Buncombe County	Winston-Salem	A characteristic of the state o	Lycoming County	Montgomery County. Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County	COURTS WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER 17 YEARS	Boys	District of Columbia	Caddo Parish	Texas: Orange County	Girls	District of Columbia	Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish

Jess than 1 per cent.

Table IIa.—Age of boys and girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 6.2 specified courts during 1928 and age limit of original jurisdiction of court—Continued

						Child	Children dealt with in delinquency cases	with in de	linquency	r cases					
							Age	Age of child reported	ported						
Age limit of original jurisdiction, sex of child, and court	Total	E	Under	Under 10 years	10 years,	10 years, under 12		12 years, under 14	14 years, under 16 16 years, under 18	under 16	16 years,	under 18	18 years and over	-	Age not re- ported
		1 Obai	Number	Number Per cent		Number Per cent	Number Per cent		Number Per cent	Per cent	Number Per cent	Per cent	Number Per cent	Per cent	
COURTS WITH ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UNDER 18 YEARS															
Boys	10, 204	10,009	638	9	1,097	111	1,913	19	3, 185	32	3, 121	31	55	-	195
Iowa: Polk County	528	527	64	12	55	10	105	20	133	25	170	32	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1
Ramsey County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	794 283 227	791 281 225	38	5 2 10	888	13 10 13	168 48 32	21 17 14	255 104 78	32 35	228 94 62	23.23 28.23	3	© ©	666
Auglaize County. Clark County. Clark County. Franklin County. Hamilion County. Lake County.	23 274 2,087 491 981 58	23 1,995 488 976 46	136 136 41 8	02-10	21 248 42 96 96	821 00	39 404 83 154 5	15 20 17 17	9 79 666 166 307 17	30 33 34 31	1108 108 536 180 120 120	41 27 37 37	-10000	©© 1	13 92 3 5 12
Mahoning County Montgomery County Sandusky County	1, 295 309 29	1, 252 308 29	92 16 2	1-10	30	10 10	245 67	22	392 116 12	38	5. 8 8. 8	30	co co	(3)	1
First district. Second district. Third district. Fourth district. Fifth district.	256 251 241 365	255 251 241 362	17 27 18 18 40 40	71 110 110	21 C1 44 C2 44 .	∞0 ∞2 5 5 6 8 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	35 138 140 79	11 23 17 22 23	82 74 220 80 101	28 3 % 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	98 171 54 88	222233	0.000	(3)	3 3 1
Virginia:	195 221	186	-01 10-	o⊶ ⇔,	28 23	21 23	33.	18	23 23	33.	68	25 44			9
Notiolk Roanoke County	10 116	9 116	4	3 9	48 1 17	15	35	30	36	23	25 2 3 8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	21	9	<del>-</del>	

34				0 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		- ! ! !
<u> </u>	(3)		(3)	(3)	63	
7	-		-		2	
36	34	58	39	33 40 37 37	43	42
940	2 = 48 9 44 9	80124 	86 44 14	144 # # 22 65 86 3 78 # # 22	13 2 17 19 0	151
39	49	35	29	41 41 40 37 38	88	34
1,016	67 1111	2122	106 22 17	153 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	110 110 110 110 113	477
16	20	15	10	10 115 117 118 118	13	16
421	22.82.24	2 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	22 9	277 277 277 277	13.4	22 7
5	තස	10	en ==	က်ကလ ယက	2	ro
127	18601	3 15	9 1 4	20 6 8 8 8	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	2
3	2		1	10 m 4 m	2	2
80	1.20	1 16	1 2	13 10 10 10	200000-	
2, 591	4 8 8 12 4 8 8 12 4 8 1	35 44 144	223 76 46	888 390 194 178 251 20	27 27 98 45 27 27	29 137 30
2,625	8 138 274 18	35 10 144	224 77 46	262 262 262 262 272 20	888 888 67 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76	30 137 2 2 50
, Girls	Indiana: Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County	Mongomery County Steuben County Vermillion County Wayne County Iowa: Polk County Minnsode:	Henrepin County. Ramsey County. St. Louis County.	Aughise County. Clark County. Clysaloga County. Franklin County. Lake County. Mahoning County. Mongomery County.	Utah: First district Second district Third district Fourth district Fifth district. Other counties.	Virgina Lynchhurg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Plerce Courty.

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Table IIB.—Method of dealing with first case disposed of during the year for delinquent boys and girls under 10 years of age dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Child	ren dea	alt with	h in de was	linque report	ncy ca ed	ses for	whom	age
				Und	er 10 y	ears of	age	,	
Court	Total			N	Iethod	of dea	ıling w	ith cas	e
	Total	Total	Per cent 2		Official	l 	U	noffici	al
				Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls
Total	34, 359	2, 330	7	1,382	1, 273	109	948	835	113
Connecticut: Bridgeport	409	40	10	32	29	3	8	5	:
Hartford	537	76	14	62	62		14	14	
District of Columbia	1,723	130	8	44	39	5	86	69	1
ndiana: Clark County	9								
Clay County	26								
Lake County	429	25	6	14	13	1	11	11	
Marion County	$\frac{716}{37}$	32 1	4	24 1	23 1	1	8	4	
Monroe County	17	3		1	1		2	2	
Stauban County	27	4		ī	1		3	2	
Vermillion County	85	2					2	2	
Vermillion County Wayne County owa: Polk County _ouisiana:	28 671	80	12	11	10	1	69	54	1
Caddo ParishOuachita Parish	$\frac{209}{234}$	14 42	7 18	6 4	6 4		8 38	8 35	
Minnesota: Hennepin County	1,014	40	4	40	38	2		ļ	
Ramsey County	357	7	2	7	7				
St. Louis County (southern part)	271	24	9	11	11	16	13	12	
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	1, 523 248	130 27	11	130 27	114 27				
Buffalo	821	48	6	48	46	2			
Chemung County	96 25	13	14	13	12	1			
Clinton County	$\frac{20}{61}$	8	13	8	8				
Columbia County Delaware County	10	1		1	1				
	192	15	8	15	14	1			
Franklin County Monroe County New York City Ontario County	42 221	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	1 3	1 3				
New York City	6,818	359	5	359	333	26			
Ontario County	99	10	10	10	10				
Orange County Orleans County	23 10	$\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$				
Westchester County	853	59	7	13	12	1	46	40	
North Carolina:						_	1		
Buncombe County	101	10	10				10	9	
Winston-Salem	295	41	14	41	30	11			
Auglaize County	28	2		. 2	2				
Clark County Cuyahoga County	349	17	5	4	3	1	13	10	١.
Cuyahoga County	2,385 682	149 14	6 2	40 14	37 14	3	109	99	:
Franklin County Hamilton County	976	54	6	3	3		51	51	
Lake County	54	10	19	5	3	2	5	5	
Mahoning County Montgomery County	1, 503 486	102 25	7 5	8	2 4	4	100	90	
Sandusky County	486	25	o		4	4	1 2	12	
Pennsylvania:							1 ~	~	
Allegheny County	1, 073	71	7	71	69	2			
Berks County	99	6	6	6	6				
Lycoming County	12 61	1	2	1 1		. 1			
Montgomery County	4, 986	429	9	198	183	15	231	206	
PhiladelphiaSouth Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County									

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm I}$  Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.  $^{\rm 2}$  Not shown where number of children is less than 50.

Table IIB.—Method of dealing with first case disposed of during the year for delinquent boys and girls under 10 years of age dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928—Continued

	Child	ren dea	alt wit		elinque report		ses for	whom	age
				Und	ler 10 y	ears o	f age	-	
Court				ı	Aethoo	d of dea	aling w	ith cas	se
	Total	Total	Per cent		Officia	1	υ	noffici	al
				Total	Boys	Girls	Total	Boys	Girls
Utah: First district Second district Third district Fourth district Fifth district Carbon County Other counties Virginia:	302 278 702 286 389 90 213	19 29 20 26 43 7 3	6 10 3 9 11 8	3 11 3 2 19 7 2	3 9 2 2 19 7	2 1	16 18 17 24 24	14 18 16 22 21	2 1 2 3
Lynchburg. Lynchburg. Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County	249 610 11 146	8 26 4	3 4 3	8 26 4	$\frac{7}{23}$	1 3			

Table IIIA.—Color and nativity of boys dealt with in delinquency cases by 62 specified courts during 1928

		Color	not re-		40							
			red	Per cent 1	15	1 13 56	13 29	10	26	10 00	111	3
			Colored	Number	4, 491	5 61 813	37 127 22	52	51	9 9	63 26	26
			ty not rted	Per cent 1	10	(2)			44	1 4	(2)	2
			Nativity not reported	Number	2,814	1 426	1	<b>F</b>	85	10	2	1
cases			Foreign born	Percent1	C1	(2)	6			1 1	40	6
nquency	ted			Number	534	€ <del>4</del>	27			5 6	50	26
Boys dealt with in delinquency cases	Color reported	White	Native, parentage not reported	Number Percent <sup>1</sup> Number Percent <sup>1</sup> Number Percent <sup>1</sup> Number Percent <sup>1</sup>	4	(2)			1	<u>.</u> විව	(2)	1
s dealt w	C	I.M.	Native, I not re		1, 112	4	4		1	∞ <b></b> ∞	8 1	1
Boy			Native, foreign or mixed parentage	Number Percent	39	77 62 4	56	16	19	45 37 61	71 68	72 43
			Native, f mixed p	Number	11, 226	262 296 57	164 8 8	8 42	12	356 105 139	921 155	547 37 3
			Native, native parentage	Number Per cent 1	31	25 11	20 63	84	555	96 90 80 80	20 18	21 53
			Native pare	Number	8, 934	73 117 153	280 280 15 15	25 42 14 434	102	391 171 68	255 42	162 46 10
			Total		29, 111	т,	291 291 442 19		187	283 227	1,300	761 86 14
			Total		29, 151	341 477 1, 457	291 291 442 19	25 25 18 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	187	283 227	1,300	761 86 14
		Court			Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford District of Columbia	Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County Monroe County	Steuben County Vermilion County Wayne County Wayne County	Loukisana Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish Minnesota:	Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	Hudson County  Mercer County  New York	Buffalo. Chemung County. Clinton County.

				25		61-	
1	-	0.0	10 52 79	27 10 24 22 3 3 12 22	14 1 22 35 35	(3)	45 52 3
23	2	539	69 48 186	212 120 216 216 216 152 67	28.23	+14 600	100 248 3
		(2)	93	(2) (2) 33 45 45 45 1	36	ES	-
		27	25		1,556	6364-1	-   -
1	2	60 44	69	(5)	2	(3) 2	
<u></u>	4	222	21	12.58	× 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	01401 0	-
		(3)		(2) 33 33 33 1 1	(2)	67€	
	37	5 2 -	9	638 638 321 19	52	20	
-	20	64 63 57	9	(E) 44 77 112 377 100	£ 53 26 1 26 1 26	33 2 32 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	See 61 ee 65
F3	124	3, 705	468	916 34 26 47.7 31	558 40 1, 145 3	27 54 204 5	2 4 4 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1
	26	32 37	18 48 21	770 671 842 34 6	23 45 54 14 63	255 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250 250	96 45 84
ର≪	46	1, 419 30 23	126 126 44 49	202 202 206 206 206 206 206	203 3.4 599 509 3	220 183 376 365 58	117 211 9 9
47 ×	176	5, 924 82 31	12 715 92 235	2, 274 2, 062 491 981 1, 295 308	892 76 76 4, 368 9	251 251 240 365 365	221 473 10 116
47 8	176	5, 931 3, 931 31	112 715 92 235	2, 274 2, 087 491 981 1, 295 309	892 76 6 6 4, 371 9	256 251 607 241 365	195 221 473 10
Columbia County	Erick County	Monroe County New York City Ontario County Orange County	Orleans County	Ohio: Auglaize County Clark County Clark County Franklin County Lakenklin County Lake County Mahoning County Mahoning County Sandusky County	Pennsylvania. Allegleny County Berks County Lycoming County Montgomery County Philadelphia. South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Utah: First District Second district Fourth district Fourth district Carbon County	Other counties Virginal Lyncia Norfok Roanoke County Washington: Pieree County

 $^{\rm 1}$  Not shown where number of boys is less than 50.

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent,

Table IIIB.—Color and nativity of girls dealt with in delinquency cases by 59 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

		Color	not re-		60	1					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
			Colored	Per cent 2	21	9 18 69	14 25	20		3.53	6	9
			Colc	Number	1,160	7 11 185	10 19 68	53	11	12.53	30	4.1
			y not ted	Per cent 2	7.0	17	4			80	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
			Nativity not reported	Number	255	46	10		19	9 2	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
sases			ı born	Per cent 2	2	1	ØH.			1	2	
Girls dealt with in delinquency cases	peq		Foreign born	Number Per cent 2 Number Per cent 3 Number Per c	1117	1	€ 4ª	1		3 8	ъ.	
th in deli	Color reported	White	Native, parentage not reported	Per cent 2	61	ī	C1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		(6)	
s dealt wi	ິບ	WT	Native, parents not reported	Number	103	63	6		1	2	1	
Girl	·		Native, foreign or nixed parentage	Per cent 2	32	66 61 3	46	9		41 48	69	57
			Native, f mixed pa	Number	1, 791	50 37 9	64 3 3	∞ 4	1	92 37 27	158	98
			native itage	Per cent 2	39	24 21 9	13.5	7.4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	53 49	19	37
			Native, native parentage	Number	2, 184	18 13 25	3 44 199	28 10 107	17	119 38 12	44	23 118 111
			Total		5,610	76 61 267	8 138 274 18	35 10 144	38	224 77 46	228	25
			Total		5, 613	76 61 267	274 138 138 18	35 10 144	38	224 77 46	228	63
		Court			Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Harford District of Columbia	Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County	Montgomery County Steuben County Vernillion County Wayne County.	Lousiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	Hennepin County. Ramsey County. St. Louis County (southern part)	County	New York: Buffalo. Chemung County. Clinton County

						1.1			
4	<b>"</b> =	16	68	121	16 26	22	88		99
61 61 6	105	23	22	19 28 32	41 46 1	142	254	-   -	22
				3 60	000		1 10 1		
					<sub>∞</sub> α		12		
	9			13.12	73		22		
0	10	7		(3)	4		63	63	
iaaa	122	10			10	-	F	62 63	
		2		19				8	
22		8		75	2		4		
	20	53		47	32	44	29	21	
11 11	519	7.5		184 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	2800	81	195	282	7 1 2
	52	21	11	76 16 79	20 67	33	20	71	43
2 2 2	233	1 0 1 1 1 1 1	5 7	69 64 157	52 119 17	14	133	£ 02 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	28 × 25 × 28 × 28 × 28 × 28 × 28 × 28 ×
14 16 6 6	2020	i	62		2000 2000	65 44 c	9150	8884	30 57 30 37 30 37 30 37
45 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	921	141 14	62	91 396 198 0	262 179 20	183 24 6	11 675 19	\$488845	30 55 137 30 30
		y			ıty		ttyville County		ounty
Columbia County Belaware County Eria County	New York City Ontario County Orange County Orleans County	Westchester County th Carolina: Buncombe County.	Winston-Salem o: Auglaize County	Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County	Mahoning County Montgomery County Sandusky County	nsylvania: Allegheny County Berks.	Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville Coun	First district Second district Third district Fourth district	Film district. Other countries. Lynchhurg. Norfolk. Roanoke County.
Colur Delay Erie ( Frank	New Ontai Orang	Westchester North Carolina: Buncombe	Winst Ohio: Augla	Clark Cuya Frank	Mahc Mont Sandu	Pennsylvania: Allegheny Berks	Mont Mont Phila South Ca	First Secon Third Fourt	Firth distriction of the correction of the corre

-30

96776°-

<sup>2</sup> Not shown where number of girls is less than 50. <sup>1</sup> Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.

3 Less than 1 per cent.

Table IV.—Source of complaint in delinquency cases dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928

		Source of com- plaint not re-	ported	84	1	2		67	5	4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		3	1	1	2	1		
		source	Per cent 1	1	(2)	(3)		වැඩ				8	(2)	23		∞			
		Other source	Num- ber	511	2	2	2		1			57	1.0	84		147		1	
	,	gency	Per cent 1	63		(3)		-	1	-		1	(3)	m 010	79	(2)	(3)	0	9
		Social agency	Num- ber	833	44	# <b>\$</b>		o	0	-	Н	10	- 3	39	ç	17	41	- 67	4
			Per cent 1	9	- 13	10		926	4			6	40	(2)	<u> </u>	22	H	0	
	Ð	Probation officer	Num- ber	2, 194	6	195	67.65	81	201	4		19	92	25	-	15	9;	=	
ses	Source of complaint reported	lepart- nt	Per cent 1	п	110	(E)		17	7	i	16	13	4 41	6(2)	15	4 32	€	77	41
Delinquency cases	aplaint	School depart- ment	Num- ber	4, 186	7-5	3	4	6.6	22	00 rc	4;	97	10 37	106	45	594 11	e 5	94	6
Delinqı	е об соп		Per cent 1	14	6	12		120	0	1	7	32	17	28 23	41	82.0	H!	7	52
	Source	Other indi- vidual	Num- ber	5, 606	39	231	6	124.8	- FG	- 71	9	241	88	151	119	429 16	, C	77	4° E
		ts or	Per cent 1	6	1-1	10		6	14		10	œ	8 11	15	∞	120	က	c)	Π
		Parents or relatives	Num- ber	3, 639	28	210	m	4;	7.2	21.4	. 6	62	18	174	23	124 6	56	တက	120
		 9	Per cent 1	26	7.9	68		35	200		99	35	88	55	34	88	95		17
		Police	Num- ber	21, 829	341	1, 355	40	159	477	ကင	29	267	67	627	66	512 245	688	12	112
			Total  -	38, 798	430	2,002	6	452	38 822	22.5		73.30	229 257	1,148	291	1,848	937	124 24 25 25	10
		Total		38, 882	431	2,004	6,6	454	822	17	88	753	232	1, 149	291	1,850	938	124	385
	,	Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport.	Harford District of Columbia	Indiana: Clark County	Clay CountyLake County	Marion County.	Montgomery County.	Steuben County	Wayne County	Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	Minnesota: Hennepin CountyRameav County	St. Louis County (southern part)	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	New York: Buffalo	Chemung County	Columbia County

		0011111111	00000	10-100, 1	0-0
1 16		4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	7	44-446363	4
		375	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	912941	13
<u> </u>	©	වෙ	©		(E) [
10 10 25	60.0	30	65	20 8 41 44 8	20 20
9 84 8	9	0100001 0000	310 018	0000	
12 39 263 3	50	822 22 23 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	42-4£	13 19 19 19	611-8061
(2)	(3)	1211121	54 1 11 (3)	05 8 4 8 13 4 8 30 30 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	6 1
111	63 4	8888410888	668 1 13 12	173 26 34 40 251	2 43.5
70 0004	29 10 30	27 117 129 34 31	10 10 2 6 6 6	21 28 10 15 15	38 8
0 193 4	257 11 102	105 115 115 53 406 165	10 10 8 8 370 2	227 234 31 67 47	21 13 13
38 129	38	6 11 12 13 13 13 13	11 11 3 9 16	(2) 27 5 15 7 49	16
75 6 1,312 4	190 132	23 428 124 1124 11 67 67	11 11 2 554 17	254 447 272 233 233 233	108
5 10 15 3	12 22	10 10 10 18 18 18	12   12   15   15	9 4 4 6 E E E E E	m oc m
1,055	65	28 188 79 40 40 97 77	149 122 1 8 509 2	20 17 12 12 11 11	811 4
47 55 60 66	36	23.24.24.28	26 59 75 75 75	24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	65
92 33 121 4,344 66	321 75 81	1, 451 408 816 21 799 7408	319 61 3 4, 655 4, 655	69 133 461 97 60 61 83	199 437 112
197 43 7,188 100 33	13 887 106 343	82 635 756 756 756 758 758 758 758 758 758 758 758 758 758	, 236 103 13 65 65 105 8	343 314 824 449 95 238	275 669 12 154
197 202 204 100 7		28 395 636 763 097 1 854 1 49	243 1 103 13 65 65 105 6	347 318 825 308 453 97 241	279 669 12 154
74	1 1 1 1 1	% <del></del>	-f -g		
Erie County Franklin County Monroe County New York City Ontario County Crange County	Orleans County Westchester County Westchester County Burcombe County Winston-Salem	Onto:  Auglaize County.  Clark County.  Cuyahoga County  Franklin County  Lake County.  Mahoning County.  Montgomery County.  Sandusky County.	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County Lycoming County. Montgomery County Philadelphia: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Utah: First district Second district Third district Fourth district Carbon County Cther county	Virgina: Lynchburg Nofolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

<sup>1</sup> Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

<sup>2</sup> Less than I per cent.

Table V.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition of delinquency cases dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928

		Place of care not re-		350	1 5	2	100	2	E to	150	1	1	1
		Other place of care	Per cent <sup>4</sup>	(6)	වව 1	-		(9)	© ©	1 1		<u> </u>	
		Other of c	Num- ber	149	1 21	8	1 1 3	3	1	9		2	-
		More than one place of care 3	Per cent 4	1	(2)	£3				1		23	23
		More than one place of care 3	Num- ber	314	1 17	3 1				63		2	-
		police on ²	Per cent <sup>4</sup>	3	3	8	60		ည်း	တတ္သင္	4	23	
		Jail or police station <sup>2</sup>	Num- ber	1, 305	12	36	0.0	10	76	91 25	13	2	
ases	orted	Other institution	Per cent	10	9	EE		1		(3)	©	(5)	20
Delinquency cases	Place of care reported	Other ins tution	Num- ber	3, 695	25	1		9	01 01	1004	20	ကက	13
Delinq	lace of	Detention home 1	Per cent	28	(5) 32	19 8		33	13	010	34	35	8
	П	Deter	Num- ber	10, 686	48 639	85 68		251	28	111 72 2	624	330	2
		ding ne	Per cent	9	(5)	(5)	-	(3)	වව	(5) 1	(3)	(5)	
		Boarding	Num- ber	109	2000	9		20 02		ною	5	HH	
		me or posed day	Per cent <sup>4</sup>	88	89 91 65	71 90	96	64	8 8	84 8 86	88	88 88	75
		Own home or case disposed of same day	Num- ber	22, 274	381 501 1,305	17 320 740	8872	479	186	927 180 251	1, 215 281	602 107 15	948
		Total		38, 532	430 552 1,999	25 453 821	 3000000000000000000000000000000000	751	219	1, 144 373 291	1,849	938	10
		Total		38,882	431 552 2, 004	9 454 822 41	3000	758 ——	232	1, 149 375 291	1,850	938 124 25	65 10
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hatfood District of Columbia	Indiana: Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Marion County	Montgomery County Steuben County Vermillion County	Iowa: Polk County		uthern part)	County	New York: Buffalo. Chemic County. Clinton County.	Columbia County Delaware County

	61	=	2.0	1 410	200	155	1 12 16		
	Te	4		(E)	(S) 1 (S)	(9)		© © 3	T ©©
	0.4	34		-  -	2 8 2	<del>-</del> -		1020	10 H C
9	1-4	16		1 6	€ €				
12	101	144		2 2 2	6644				
1 1	1 1 1 1	(9)	7	(6)	6445 x	<b>©</b>	(2)	(3) 111 115 6 6	13 13 12
1 1		4	2	37	275 43	N 81	31	123 123 19	27 87 19
23	-84-	∞	1	(6)	(6)	(6)	(5)	(6)	0 0
45	3, 423	89	-		8 9	69		60 41	
1	8 8		4-1	41 38 38	184 98 4 88	74	9 77 8	36	1 88 8
23	89 89		44	161	533 33 3	808	3,814 3,814	3 299	253
2	වව <sup>*</sup>	©	-	(9)	   	୍ ଚ	(S)	(5)	F 66
83	124	က	-	က	4-	611	-4 co-	4.8.1 (3.0)	7 7
69	56 83	72	86	57	22,632	8 8	98 3 5 1	98 86 99 99 95	92 88 89 39
135	3, 610 82 82 82	93.2	92 334	1, 594	320 320 320 320	272	2, 356 69 69	339 275 397 273 449 92	218 246 324 3 60
197	222 7, 143 99	88 72	338	395 395 2, 632	1,096 1,834 2,834	49	6, 182 105 105 6	347 318 825 301 453 97	236 279 669 12 154
	204 20 100		106 343		097 097 19854 19854		103 105 9		241 279 669 12 154
	., 7	i 1 1	1 1	- ' ' '	<del>-1</del> -1				1 1 1 1
Erie County	Monroe County New York City Ontario County Orange County	Orleans County. Westchester County.	North Caronna: Buncombe CountyWinston-Salem	Auglaize County. Clark County. Cuyahoga County.	Frankin County Lake County Mahoning County	Moutgomery County Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	Berks County Lyconing County Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Utah: First district. Second district. Third district. Fourth district. Fifth district. Carbon County	Other counties. Virginia. Lynchburg. Norfolk. Roanoke County. Washington: Pierce County.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jails or police stations.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in jails or police stations and part of the time elsewhere.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in jails or police stations or detention homes and part of the time elsewhere.

Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in jails or police stations or detention homes and part of the time elsewhere.

Includes cases is less than 50.

Table VIA.—Charge in boys' delinquency cases dealt with by 62 specified courts during 1928

																		1			
									Boys'	delin	Boys' delinquency cases	cases			ļ			:			
									٥	harge	Charge reported	þ									
Court	Tota	Total	Stealing or attempted stealing <sup>1</sup>	ng or pted ng 1	Truancy	псу	Running away	ning	Ungovern- able or be- yond paren- tal control		Sex offense		Injury or attempted injury to person		Act of care- lessness or mischief		Violating liquor or drug law, or intoxication	ng or 7, or	Other charge		Charge not re- ported
			Num- ber	Per cent <sup>2</sup>	Num- ber	Per cent 2	Num- ber	Per cent 2	Num-	Per D	Num- ber 6	Per N	Num-	Per N	Num- I ber ce	Per N	Num-	Per N	Num-	Per cent 2	
Total	32, 822	32, 667	14, 064	43	2,880	6	2, 005	9	2, 274	7	564	. 61	922	6	9, 146	-82	340	-	472	-	155
Connecticut: Bridgeport Bridgeport Larthord District of Columbia Indiana:	354 491 - 1, 692	ı,	137 192 715	39 39 42	28 26 40	∞ r≎ 61	19 28 21	1	20 36 160	9 6	- 5 <del>- 5</del> - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5		6 47	616169	139 192 663	20 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0			52		
Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County	18 	306 306 534 504 61	181 181 284 11	59	47 86	15	8000	∞	32 -	100	~~~	21-	202	-4	11 12 12 13 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	139	- m	€	4		8
Montgomery County. Steuben County. Vermillion County. Wayne County. Iowa: Polk County.	5908333		21 7 218	40	10 13	4	.e.r.c.4	9	89	12	8 6	9 2	13	2 2	20	38 33	2 11	4 (2)	m		
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	194	194 221	55	35	31	14	19	9	7 6	44	8.4	22	12	w 10	93	25	9 %	£ 4	20	2	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern			4	63	53	9	က်က မ	7 7	103	88	34	40 -	12	04 4	54	18	13		15	88	1
New Jarsey: Hudson County.	1,588	1,588 1,288	94 508 229	8 23 28	434	27 <b>4</b>	2 00 01		9 157 8	3	2 2 4		20 20	F 60 01	416 13	26 5	9	1	1 61	ි ව	
New York: BuffaloChemung County	870	870	580	67 50	23	24	42	2 2	59	- <del>4</del>	7	1	15		166			$\top$	-	€	

3 Less than 1 per cent.

 $^2\,\mathrm{Not}\,\mathrm{shown}$  where number of cases is less than 50.

VENTEE COOLL S	1111111100, 1020
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	
14888 99	139
(3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4	© 8 4 4 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
88 88 88 11 17 17 17	26 24 28 24 46 46 46 47 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
20 19 19 30 38 38 7 7	23.3 7.7 33.3 7.7 24.4 7.7 24.4 7.4 24.
27 27 27 327 10 592 23 23 23 23	3 3 6 6 6 95 95 85 85 41 103 23 45 45 174 174 178
mm ma 21 2 2 m	420 18214 8 86 1
220 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	1252 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
31 6 12 2 2 3 3	21 8922114 6 1 2
1 8 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	88 88 1 10 10 10 10 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17
40891-001 004	8 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
61 124 127 125 24 4 4 4 4 4 4 3 3	33.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.11.1
00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	8 1004 1 9
20 130 130 145 145 2 30 54 7	2 2 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
21 44 15 15 16 34 34 34 35 34 35	2 6 6 7 10 10 11 11 11 10 7 7
81 81 81 81 81 116 116 163	318 15 15 19 19 19 19 15 15 18 18 19 19 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18
24 24 24 30 30 62 62 62 63 63 63 63 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64	85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85
1, 135 1, 135 1, 135 449 449 102 102 13 624 624	2, 096 4, 2, 096 43, 3, 3 11, 11, 11, 12, 12, 12, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13
23 2, 235 2, 235 1, 097 1, 097 1, 578 345 29 1, 026	5 411 8 86 8 86 289 289 280 280 280 280 280 280 280 280
2, 235 2, 235 2, 235 1, 097 1, 097 345 29 1, 033	5, 411 86 86 88 99 289 280 280 425 97 212 245 253 102 102
Ohic: Auglaize County Clark County Clark County Clark County Franklin County Lake County Mahonin County Mathonin County	Automary County Montigomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County First district First district Third district Fifth district Fifth district Carbon County Other counties. Virginia: Lynchburg Norlok Washington: Pierce County
	23         22         23         23         23         24         23         24         23         24         23         24         25         23         23         23         24         23         24         24         25         24         25         24         25         24         25         24         25         24         25<

1 For detailed charges under this caption see Table VIc.

Table VIb.—Charge in girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 59 specified courts during 1928  $^{1}$ 

Girls' delinquency cases	Charge reported	Ungovern- able or be- yond paren- tal control  Sex offense injury or person  Thiury or attempted lessness or drug law, or charge not re- mischief intoxication  Other Charge not re- person	Per Num- Per Num- Per Num- Per Num- Per Num- Per Num- Per cent 3 ber cent 3 ber cent 3	28 1, 188 19 152 3 479 8 65 1 67 1 39	26 28 36 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	47 31 19 28 17 2 1	8 22	26 37 48	26 42 16 14 5 5 2 2 1	15 4 6 1 1 1 1 2 3
		Running	n- Per	3 15	22.44	5.000	2331		16	2 2	27
		Ru	Num-	806	441	1 125			12 12		14
		Truancy	Per cent 3	12	41 8 2	13	1 1 1 4			45	
		Tru	Num-	752	11 5	3822	21 29	20	6	118	;
		ng or pted	Per cent 3	12	16 18 19	10	ro	21	10	3	53
		Stealing or attempted stealing <sup>2</sup>	Num- ber	727	112	30	H4 8	3 3	8	0.80	36
		Total		6, 021	77 61 312	48 148 187 180	36.5.8	38	49	262	80
		Total		6, 060	77 61 312	288 19 19	36 10 163	38 38	t: 49	262	89
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford. District of Columbia.	Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County	Montgomery County Steuben County Vermillion County Wayne County	Lousdana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish Minaesota: Hennepin County	Ramsey CountySt. Louis County (southern part).	New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County	Buffalo

			,
932			
€	£ 8 1	2 2 1	-
	3 7 1	8	
	8 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
€       €			
	4 6 2	4 0 1	
2 2 2 8 6	16 3	11 18 8	13
4 L 47 E L 18 8 %	1 t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	88 1	14 4 19 19
0 0 00	£ # £	0 13	12
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 3000	1 m	181
25 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	32 32 12	29	10
H8H44860 118 8H 471	126 56 61 8 32 16 16	310 15 2 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	24.10 00 61 kg
34 19 25 19 16 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	3   32   10	12 12 12	99
360 360 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361 361	68 1407421 168 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	230 1 11 14	85-7 51-0
	13   13   10   10   10   10   10   10	11	
		35	
8	22 21 22 4 84 84 85	276	201- 1-
1 4 4 5 6 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7 6 7	13 13 13 10 13	5 14 33	6
8	2 2 2 2	3,71 9,71 9	16 3 4
18 18 19 9 9 9 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	13 62 81	9	29
8 7 1 9 2 E 4 1 1 2 2	27 28 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	- 68 - 01 - 02	01-1- 41- 100
		<u> </u>	
11 12 16 16 17 17 17 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	212 212 9 276 189 20 20 20 26	7. 7.89 119 129 116	28 28 28 28 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32
111 122 166 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167 167	213 276 189 20 210 26	77 11 19 19 116 116	28 29 146 32 32
		ounty	
Clinton County Columbia County Delaware County Pranklin County Franklin County New York City Orange County Orange County Orange County Crange County Crange County Collegas County Mestchester County H Carolina: Buncombe County Clark County Origina: Clark County Clark County Clark County Clark County	.y.	Lycoming County. Mortgomery County. Mortgomery County. South Carolina: Greenville Count, Utah: First district. Second district. Third district.	Fourth district. Fifth district. Other counties Virginia. Lynchburg Norfolk. Roanoke County
Clinton County	Pranklin County	Lycoming County. Montgomery County. Philadelphia. The Carolina: Greenville C. First district. Second district. Third district.	ty e Cou
Columbia County Columbia County Delaware County Franklin County Franklin County Franklin County New York City Drange County Prange County Prange County Recomme County Recomme County Runcombe County Runcombe County Runcombe County Runcombe County Runcombe County Runcombe County Cutquaise County	Lyanoga County— Jahoning County— Mahoning Courty— Montgomery Countynations of the county of the coun	Cou hia- a: Gi ict	rourn district Fifth district Fifth district Fifth district Inia: Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Rington: Pierce
on C nubia Nyare Cloum C	klin (Coul)	ming gom gom delpl rolin distr d dist	distraction of the distraction o
Clinton County Columbia County Delaware County Erie County Franklin County Monroe County Ortange County Ortange County Ortange County Ortange County Westchester County Buroombe County North Carolina. Buroombe County Winston-Salem Ohio:	Cuyaniba County Lake County Lake County Mahoning County Montgomery Count Sandusky County nsylvania: Alligheny County Herks County	Lycoming Count Montgomery Co Philadelphia th Carolina: Gree h: First district Second district Third district	Fourth district Fitch district Other counties Grand finia. Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County
North North Work North N	Pen	Sout Utal	Four userie Fifth district Other counties Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce Cou

1 Only 59 of the 62 courts reporting delinquency cases reported girls' delinquency cases.
2 For detailed charges under this caption see Table V1D.

<sup>3</sup> Not shown where number of cases is less than 50. <sup>4</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Table~VIc.--} Type~of~stealing~charged~in~boys'~delinquency~cases~dealt~with~by~62} \\ specified~courts~during~1928 \end{array}$ 

	Boys	' delinquer	or attemp	which cha led stealing	arge was s	tealing
Court			Ту	pe of steal	ing	
	Total	Auto- mobile stealing	Burglary or unlaw- ful entry	Robbery	Other type of stealing	Not re- ported
Total	14, 064	1, 831	4, 239	698	4, 729	2, 56
Connecticut:			<del></del>			-
Bridgeport Hartford	$\frac{137}{192}$	10	38 117		89 75	
District of Columbia	715	138	22	1	553	
Clark County	4	1				
Clay County Lake County	13 181	3 19	3 84	36	1	1
Marion County	284	50	92	7	133	4
Monroe County	11		1		1	1
Montgomery County Steuben County	5 6	2	2 2			
Vermillion County	21	1	10	7	2	
Wayne County	7		5			
Cowa: Polk CountyLouisiana:	218	38	44	6	4	15
Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	55 77	7 3	9 26	10	26	
Minnesota: Hennepin County	454	112	116	7	218	İ
Ramsey County	188	27	68	1 i	81	į
St. Louis County (southern part)	94	26	25		27	1
New Jersey: Hudson County	508	6	238		250	,
Mercer County	229	8	108	6	4	10
New York:	*00		202	10		_
Buffalo Chemung County	580 48	43	200 8	16	288 39	3
Clinton County	7				3	
Columbia County	14		8	6		
Delaware County Erie County	8 90	3 3	3 25	5	57	
Franklin County	23		20		3	
Monroe County	120	16	39		53	
New York City Ontario County	2, 486 56	181	921 43	196 1	134	1, 08
Orange County	23	5	17		î	
Orleans County	10		4		1	
Westchester CountyNorth Carolina:	219	39	39	2	139	<del></del>
Buncombe County	54		1			
Winston-Salem	118	16	44	1	43	1
Ohio: Auglaize County	6		4			ļ
Clark County	120	18	29	2	40	3
Cuyahoga County	1, 135	266	411	40	190	22
Franklin CountyHamilton County	339 449	97 114	64 89	$^{12}_{14}$	$\frac{163}{222}$	]
Lake County	14	1	11		2	
Mahoning County	364	69	160	13	122	
Montgomery County Sandusky County	102	16 4	63	21	1	
Pennsylvania:			ŀ			ĺ
Allegheny County	624	45 11	143	23	103	31
Berks County Lycoming County	$\frac{40}{2}$	11	11		18	
Montgomery County	46	3	12	8	22	
Philadelphia	2,099	271	495	108	1, 225	
South Carolina: Greenville County Fexas: Orange County	43 3	2	8			3
Jtah:						
First district	114	15	16	4	29	
Second district	128 348	$\frac{10}{62}$	31 104	$\frac{1}{62}$	59 19	10 10
Fourth district	136	. 9	55	29	17	2
Fifth district	197	10	16		167	
Carbon County Other counties	69 82	$\frac{2}{2}$	11 9	11	4 6	3
Virginia:	02		, ,		0	
Lynchburg	61	1	10	2		4
Norfolk Roanoke County	171 8	19	51 4	4	90	
			. 4			

Table VId.—Type of stealing charged in girls' delinquency cases dealt with by 45 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

Girls' delinquency cases in which charge was stealing or attempted stealing Type of stealing Court Total Auto-Burglary Other Not remobile or unlaw Robbery type of ported ful entry stealing stealing 727 26 43 41 405 212 Connecticut: 19 Bridgeport\_ 12 Hartford. 11 11  $\bar{2}$ District of Columbia... 58 55 Indiana: Clark County.... Lake County.... 1 8 5 Marion County\_ Monroe County\_ 3ŏ 9  $\bar{3}$ 5 1 12 1 1 Steuben County Vermillion County\_ ĭ 2 ī Iowa: Polk County.... 4 Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish... 3 2 Minnesota: Hennepin County ... 53 5 47 Ramsey County 8 St Louis County (southern part) -14 6 8 New Jersey: Hudson County.... 8 Mercer County.... 3 ī 1 New York: Buffalo. 36 2 1 28 5 Chemung County... Columbia County... 2 4 ŝ 3 Erie County. 5 5 Franklin County ī 7 New York City... 1425 5 11  $11\overline{2}$ Westchester County...... North Carolina: Winston-Salem... 13 13  $\tilde{12}$ 14  $\bar{2}$ Ohio: Clark County. Cuyahoga County.... 50 3 4  $2\overline{5}$ Franklin County ... 17 1 12 4 Mahoning County 26 6 13 72 Montgomery County... 10 Sandusky County.... 1 1 Pennsylvania: Allegheny County.... Berks County.... 27 1 10 16 1 Montgomery County\_ Philadelphia î 89 3 6 79 3 South Carolina: Greenville County. 3 Utah: Second district... 1 Third district 10 ī 2 1 î  $\tilde{2}$ Fourth district..... 3 7 7 Fifth district... Other counties ... 5 9 Virginia: Lynchburg.... 4 Norfolk -73 1 6 Washington: Pierce County\_\_\_\_\_ 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 45 of the 59 courts reporting girls' delinquency cases reported cases in which the charge was stealing.

Table VIIa.—Disposition in boys' official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 specified courts during 1928  $^{\rm 1}$ 

			:	Boys	s' officia	l del	inquen	су с	ases				
					Disp	osit	on rep	orte	i				
Court	Total	Total	Dismi or co tinu inde nite	on- ed efi-	Chil placed probat	on	Chi con mitt to ins tutio	n- ed sti-	Resti tion, i or co	fine,	Oth dispo	si-	Disposition not reported
			Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per eent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Disposition
Total	23, 399	23, 379	7, 046	30	10, 054	43	3, 241	14	1,715	7	1, 323	6	20
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford District of Columbia Indiana:		265 390 1, 063	98 157 221	37 40 21	130 218 489	49 56 46	26 13 32	10 3 3	4 13	2	7 2 308	3 1 29	
Clark County Clay County Lake County Marion County Monroe County	5 18 225 492 16	5 18 225 492 16	1 49 193	22 39	12 70 171 12 3	31 35	5 5 59 77 3	26 16	22 28 1	10 6	25 23	11 5	
Montgomery County Steuben County Vermillion County Wayne County Iowa: Polk County	5 8 9 7 220	5 8 9 7 220	88	40	3 6 7 1 81	37	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 5 \\ 22 \end{array}$	10	1	(3)	1 1 1 1 28	13	
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	88 62	88 62	39 9	44 15	10 30	11 48	17 15	19 24	11 1	13 2	11 7	13 11	
Minnesota: Hennepin County Ramsey County	896 298	896 298	273 18	30 6	433 235	48 79	177 35	20 12	2	(3)	11 10	1 3	
St. Louis County (south- ern part) New Jersey:	142	142	38	27	21	15	18	13	41	29	24	17	
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	1	1, 588 272	781	49 2 57	241 225 170	15 83 20	212 42	13 15 14	336	21	18	1	
BuffaloChemung CountyClinton CountyColumbia County	870 96 6 50 8	870 96 6 50 8	499 46 3 32	48	29 2 10 3	30 20	124 7 1 4 2	7	9	9	5 4 1	5	
Delaware County Erie County Franklin County Monroe County New York City Ontario County Orange County	191	181 38 172 6, 239	54 13 1 2,656	30 1 43	103 1 149 2, 407	57 87 39	23 11 21 568	13 12 9	4 	10	1 9 1 9	1 (3)	16
Westchester County	83 30 12 436	83 30 12 436	15 15 	31	62 1 10 206	75  47	6 13 2 61	7  14	1 14	3	20	5	
North Carolina: Winston-Salem Ohio:	274	274	56	20	149	54	55	20	3	1	11	4	
Auglaize County Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Lake County Mahoning County	44	21 130 1, 444 550 78 44 330	36 134 21 7 6 29	28 9 4 9	15 43 576 374 13 13 185	33 40 68 17	1 20 383 106 37 5 65	15 27 19 47	17 24 10 18 34	13 2 2 2	1 14 327 39 21 2 17	11 23 7 27 27	1
Montgomery County Sandusky County Pennsylvania:	250 10	250 10	35	14	161	64	3	17	1	(3)	11 4	4	
Allegheny County	73	1,033 73 6 54 2,843	59 12 11 625	16 16 20 22	757 41 2 28 1,572	73 56 52 55	181 19 4 10 411	18 26  19 14	1 31	2	36 1 4 204	3 1 7 7	

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Only 61 of the 62 courts reporting boys' delinquency cases reported official delinquency cases.  $^2$  Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.  $^3$  Less than 1 per cent.

Table VIIa.—Disposition in boys' official delinquency cases dealt with by 61 specified courts during 1928—Continued

				Boys	s' officia	l del	inquer	еу с	ases				
					Disp	ositi	on rep	orte	i				
Court	Total	Total	Dismi or co tinu inde nite	on- ed fi-	Chil placed probat	lon	Chi con mitt to in tuti	ı- ed sti-	Restition, 1	îne,	Oth dispo	si-	Disposition not reported
			Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Disposition
South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	76 7	76 4	15	20	38 4	50	10	13	4	5	9	12	
Utah: First district Second district Third district Fourth district	74 174 203 39	74 174 203 39	22 58 27 28	30 33 13	44 16 1	25 8	37 13 99 10	50 7 49	14 58 45	19 33 22	1 1 16	1 1 8	
Fifth districtCarbon CountyOther countiesVirginia:	232 85 162	232 85 162	57 3 38	25 4 23	46 73 30	20 86 19	4 5 11	2 6 7	118 2 78	51 2 48	7 2 5	3 2 3	
Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County	245 523 10 122	245 523 10 122	141 163 	58 31 -16	76 193 6 47	31 37 -39	20 34 4 43	8 7 35	8 83	3 16 	50	10	

Table VIIb.—Disposition in girls' official delinquency cases dealt with by 57 specified courts during 1928  $^{\rm 1}$ 

		,		Girls	' officia	l del	inquer	юу с	ases				
					Disp	ositi	on rep	orted	i				
Court	Total	Total	Dismissed or con- tinued indefi- nitely		Child placed or probation		Chi con mitt to in tuti	n- .ed sti-	Restition, i	fine,	Oth dispo	si-	not reported
			Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Number	Per cent 2	Disposition
Total	4, 486	4, 484	993	22	1,860	41	1, 178	26	61	1	392	9	2
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford District of Columbia: Indiana: Clark County.	54 50 202	54 50 202 4	17 5 18	31 10 9	20 30 97	37 60 48	15 14 22 4	28 28 11			2 1 65	4 2 32	
Clay County Lake County Marion County Morroe County Montgomery County Vermillion County Wayne County Iowa: Polk County	7 127 113 12 3 3 6 73	7 127 113 12 3 3 6 73	1 31 34 1 1 21	24 30  29	2 33 53 10 	26 47 	3 36 20 2 2 2 2 2 2 33	28 18  45	2	2	1 25 6 1 1	20 5	

 $<sup>^1</sup>$  Only 57 of the 59 courts reporting girls' delinquency cases reported girls' official delinquency cases.  $^2$  Not shown where base is less than 50.

Table VIIb.—Disposition in girls' official delinquency cases dealt with by 57 specified courts during 1928—Continued

				Girl	s' offici	al del	linque	псу	eases				
					Disp	ositi	on repo	orted					
* Court	Total	Total	Dismor continuinde nite	on- ed efi-	Chil placed proba	l on	Chi con mitt to in tuti	n- ed sti-	Resti tion, i or co	ine,	Oth dispe	osi-	Disposition not reported
			Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Number	Per cent	Disposition
Louisiana: Caddo Parish	23	23	3		1		15		1		3		
Ouachita Parish	9	9			1		5				3		
Minnesota: Hennepin County	253	253	43	17	130	51	78	31			2	1	
Ramsey County St. Louis County (south-	77	77	6	8	44	57	27	35					
ern part) New Jersey:	20	20	1		3		12		1		3		
Hudson County	262	262	130	50	44	17	54	21	21	8	13	5	
Mercer County New York:	22	22			8		14						
Buffalo	68 28	68 28	42 14	62	9 6	13	16 6	24			1 1	1	
Chemung County Clinton County	8	8	3 8		2		3 7						
Columbia County Delaware County	15 2	15 2			1		1						
Erie County	16 6	16 6	4 2		8		3 4				1		
Franklin County Monroe County	50	50			21	42	29	58					
New York City Ontario County	949 17	947 17	258 4	27	480 8	51	200 5	21	3	(3)	6	1	:
Orange County Orleans County	2	2	2										
Westchester County	1 93	93	30	32	1 35	38	23	25			5	5	
North Carolina: Winston-Sa-	69	69	23	33	33	48	7	10			6	9	
lemOhio:		09		33		30		10			1	1	
Auglaize County Clark County	5 26	5 26	1 9		1 6		2 7		1		1 3		
Cuyahoga County	309	309	14	5	117	38	92	30		<b>-</b> -	86	28	
Franklin CountyLake County	213 7	213 7	10 1	5	86	40	89 3	42	5	2	23	11	
Mahoning County	76 90	76 90	8 23	11 26	27 35	36 39	34 26	45 29			2 7 6	9 7	
Montgomery County Sandusky County	11	11	1		4		5				1		
Pennsylvania: Allegheny County	210	210	4	2	124	59	66	31			16	8	
Berks County	24	24	î		4		19						
Lycoming County Montgomery County	7 11	7 11	1		5		2 8				2		
Philadelphia	528	528	119	23	270	51	76	14	7	1	56	11	
South Carolina: Greenville County	10	10	2		3		2		1		2		
Utah: First district	9	9	6				3						
Second district	23	23	5		7		9				2		
Third districtFourth district	42 8	42 8	11 5				26 3				5		
Fifth district	5	5	1		1				8		1		
Other counties Virglnia:	18	18	4		1		2		8	j	3		
Lynchburg	34	34	24 37	26	- 8 - 58	-31-	2 12		8	6	27	19	
Norfolk Roanoke County	142	142		20		41	2		<u>°</u>			19	
Washington: Pierce County	32	32	5		3		24						

<sup>3</sup> Less than 1 per cent.

Table VIII.—Color and nativity of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 specified courts during 1928

		5	Dorted		9		)						
			red	Per cent <sup>1</sup>	14	59	17	14	6160	4	13	8	
			Colored	Number	2, 214	311	448	81	1-4	8 -	412	7	
			not re-	Per cent	භ	29	(3)	42	8				ıt.
ses			Nativity not re- ported	Number Per centi Number Per centi Number Per centi Number Per centi Number Per centi Number Per centi	466	155	41.6	36	9		1 1		<sup>2</sup> Less than 1 per cent.
neglect ca			n born	Per cent	1	1	(2)	(2)				(3)	Less than
Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases	eđ		Foreign born	Number	157	6	9	1	! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !		1 1	1	2
n depende	Color reported	White	parent-	Per cent	1	(2)	3	(2)	(2)	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1		
alt with i	ŏ	Wb	Native, parent- age not reported	Number	207	1	9	1 10	1 2		1	7.	
ildren de			Native, foreign or mixed parent- age	Per cent	31	38 2	32		30 20	82	33 48	40	50.
CF			Native, foreign or mixed parent age	Number	4, 923	37 54 9	91	8 1	102 26 19	.55 ⊗	37 40		less than
			Native, native parentage	Pcr cent <sup>1</sup>	50	46 55 10	46	84 45 98	64	13 93	54 49	57	<sup>1</sup> Not shown where number of children is less than 50
			Native, parer	Number	7,852	32 78 52	132 229	490 38 106	216 101 24	901	4004	136	nber of ch
			Total		15,819		286 290		335 131 46	67 114	88 115	239	rhere nur
			Total		15,825	69 142 531	588 290 530 290	581 108	335 131 46		112	- 239	shown v
		Court			Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Bridgeport Britishd District of Columbia	Jeanings County Lake County Marion County	Journal of the Control  Minnesota: Hennepin County. Ramsey County. St. Louis County St. Louis County		Columbia County Erie County	Monroe County	1 Not	

Table VIII.—Color and nativity of children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases by 53 specified courts during 1928—Continued

		-	Color not re- ported	ot	1 1 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	4	£ 47 8 2 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1 8 1	16 2	6	23 5	
			Colored	Per cel						1	
			CO	Number Per cent	292 5	50	163 163 111 47	17 79 1	88	842 16 3	
			7 not re-	Per cent		(2)	2	77-	1	4	
ises			Nativity not re-	Number			25 2 2 2 2	26	2	139	
Children dealt with in dependency and neglect cases	<u> </u>		Foreign born	Per cent	53	5	(2)	(2)	(2)	1	-0
ency and	sed		Foreig	Number	93	<u> </u>	2	1	<b>#</b> #	27	7
n depend	Color reported	ite	parent- eported	Per cent	(3)	5	6	(2)	1		9
alt with i	ŏ	White	Native, parent- age not reported	Number	6	9	833	4-	7	40	
ildren de			foreign parent- e	Per cent	51 20 18	50	50	31 7 18	36	31	32
CP			Native, foreign or mixed parent- age	Number	1, 807	185	571 36 6	257 34 11	354	1, 130	43
			native itage	Number Per cent Number Per cent Number Per cent Number Per cent Number Per cent	38 71 80	32 95	257 259 89 85	43 75 80	53	39	56
			Native, native parentage	Number	1,348	80 12	42 84 284 525 306	360 84 84	514 23	1,411	92
			Total		3, 542 84 61	368 368 264 26	44 97 1, 133 589 361	183 479 60	970 31	3, 589 120 3, 120	135
			Total		3, 543 84 61	368 84 84 26	44 97 1, 133 589 361	183 479 60	970	3, 594 120 3	135
						V		ty.		ty- rille County.	
		Court			New York—Continued New York City Ontario County Orange County Orange County	North Carolina: Buncombe County. Wiston-Salem	Ohio: Auglaize County Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County	Mahoning County Montgomery County Sandusky County	Allegheny County Berks County	Motigonery County. Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville Coi	First district

					JUV
			8		
		1	1	)	
		781	2		
	1				
1 1	1				
1 1					
			6		
9			9		
	:	91	88		
14		30°×			
27	ro 6	730 ×	99		
27	ۍ د	230 ×	99		
Fourth district	Other counties	Norfolk Roanoke County	Washington: Pierce County.	Less than 1 per cent.	
•	>	967	⊳ 76°.	3 <b>0</b>	5

Table IX.—Source of complaint on which families were referred to court in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

		Source of com- plaint not re-	ported	31		2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			60
			Per cent 1	1	69	H 4			3
		Other source	Num- ber	120	6	2 14		1	7 4 9
		l de-	Per cent 1	က	×	01 0	11 00	00 -1 0	63.69
		School de- partment	Num- ber	251	2 26	35.24	17.	400	2 20 22
cases		ution	Per cent 1	7	10	44	23	25	(2)
neglect o	d .	Probation officer	Num- ber	587	27.7	83 6 13	33	121	15
Families referred in dependency and neglect cases	Source of complaint reported	e E	Per cent 1	7	27	16 26 5	P-10	2	13
pendenc	nplaint	Police	Num- ber	602	88	31 45 1 1 18	4.00	15 2 2	231 33
d in de	se of cor	indi- ial	Per cent 1	9	- 60	8 8 21	23.23	8	
s referre	Sour	Other indi- vidual	Num- ber	208	10	12418	13	14 1210	22 8
Familie		ts or	Per cent 1	37	21	23 37	38	411 411	28
		Parents or relatives	Num- ber	2,975	672	44 65 3 163	27.33	254.8	5 499 19
		gency	Per cent 1	38	77	23	80 -18	16	95
		Social agency	Num- ber	3,079	20 54 104	15 40 10 1	5 4 146	54 11 10 10	29 942 6 5
			Total	8, 122	30 70 326	1188 174 111 392	60 56 163	68 33 16 16 59	22 22 23 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
		Total		8, 153	30 70 326	190 174 123 393	60 56 163	69 33 46 61 50	22 23 30 30
	1	Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford District of Columbia	Clay County. Jennings County Lake County Marion County. Manion County Town: Polk County	Louisiana: Caddo Parish. Ouachita Parish. Minnesota: Hennepin County.	Ramsey County. St. Louis County (southern part). New York: Buffalo. Chemung County Chemung County Columbia County	Erie County Franklin County Nomroe County New York City Ontario County Orange County

<sup>2</sup>Less than 1 per cent.

<sup>1</sup> Not shown where number of families is less than 50.

9		8		
	2 1 1 15			
2	33 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	44-00-		64
2	9211 11	2       -		10
62.44	e 2 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	222		5 6
	ထက္က ထတ	32	œ	10
4	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	135	9 1 1	11.23
10	0 % 2 7 % E	(2)	× .	9
9	98 4 1 8 8 1 8 2 2	Q 4010	0014	27 4
9	88 113	19	4	17
12 12	8722373	16 1 124 134	8 -1	3
2 74	24 32 46 18 15 49	17	23	40
4 43 10	28 163 161 40 40 13 147	72 1 1,116 35	22 3	67
26	6 115 125 52 52 64 30	43 27 22	51	œ
159	210 210 51 113 113 87 80	180 11 8 8 473 15	39	10
13 168 58 15	21 52 349 349 217 19 89 89 303	419 17 12 13 13 1, 766 67	177 1	124 224 48
13 168 58 21	21 52 53 350 217 19 89 89 303 24	424 18 13 13 1, 766 1	111	18 124 2 48
, Orleans County. Wetchester County. North Carolina: Buncombe County. Winston-Salem.	Auglaize County Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilion County Lake County Mahoning County Mongomery County	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County Lycononing County Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Ush: First district Third district Fourth district Fifth district Fifth district	Vacabburg Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

Table X.—Place of care pending hearing or disposition of dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

		Place of care not reported	Per cent 4	2 315	62.50	82		10	2	6 6	(5) 35
		Other place of care	Num-	361	42	25	22	. I	m m	241-	2 000
		than lace re 3	Per cent	-	3	(5)	©			7	1 2
		More than one place of care 3	Num- per	167	3 63	1 - 4	-			9	31
		Jail or police station <sup>1</sup>	Per cent 4	(5)		(e)		1		-	
ses		Jail or stati	Num- ber	15				-	1 ! !	-	
Dependency and neglect cases	ported	Other insti- tution	Per cent <sup>4</sup>	22	30	9	4	- 61	=	1 3 13 20	61
and ne	Place of care reported	Other	Num- ber	3, 474	21 19 18	26 70 3	. 85 		18	15 175 175	2, 192
endency	Place of	Detention home <sup>1</sup>	Per cent 4	10	17	16	27	8 .		73	57
Dep		Dete	Num- ber	1, 539	25	45	167	15	101	16	136
		Boarding home	Per cent	5	13 12 (5)	14	9	. υ. i	45	3 1 8	(5)
		Boar	Num- per	736	9 17 1	41	28	ကပေ	57 61 17	14 112	411 66
		sposed e day	Per cent 4	61	54 55	58	54	2 2 1	51	74 91 80 64	38 37 74
		Own home or case disposed of same day	Num- ber	9, 682	37 79 402	166 161	341	95	237 69 9	52 105 21 93 53	41 92 1,342 62
		Tota		15, 974	69 144 525	285 321	630	75	335 135 47	70 115 36 116 83	3, 582 84
		Total		16, 289	69 144 533	16 290 322	630	85	336 135 47	70 1115 37 116 83	3, 617 84
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartford Digtriet of Columbia	Indiana: Clay County. Jenings County Lake County. Marion County.	Montgomery County	Caddo Parish. Ouachita Parish Minnesota:	Hennepin County	New York: Buffalo. Chemung County. Clinton County. Columbia County. Eric County	Franklin County Monroe County New York City Ontario County

			001		_ 000		,
187	4	1 3	1 2	179	110	-	1 1111
2 2	∞	11 1 6 9	9	1	9(9)	9	3
-1 3	۲ ٥	3369112	311.5	9	7	×-1-	7 5
26	5	ES	€		63		8
- 26	67	7	1		e .		7
(3)	4						
	es					9	
14	18	383021	440	-	17	13	6 6 13
191	15	361272°	18	101	640	177	15
		12 11 32 1	3 17 28	57	© 1	38	6 6 14
	-	12522	83 17	477	12 16 1	35	141 2
23	25	4004	196	1	3	œ	
85	171	59 52 16	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	17.	0 H 7 − 4	13	10177
95 -	43	69 78 50 48	76 67 67	40	82 78	35	74 54
57 8 164	36	888 303 172	330 40 40	339	3,072 22,072 20,088	1127	172
900 8 370	22 5		6846 6846	31	741 34 3 3	144 27 14	30 232 8 70
61 37 370		- <del>-</del> -			<del>ده</del>		
3336		1, 141 613 361	4.884.9	1,01	3,744 35 3,744 35 3,744 35	41 21	7 23 3
Orange County Orleans County. Westchester County	North Carolina: Buncombe County Winston-Salem. Ohio:	Augaize county. Clark County. Cuyahoga County. Franklin County. Hamilton County.	Lake County. Mahoning County. Montgomery County. Sandusky County.	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County Berks County	Ayounng County Montgomery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	Utah: First district Third district Fourth district Fifth district	Virgina: Lynchburg Norfolk Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County

1 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in detention homes and part of the time elsewhere, but excludes cases of children also held in jalls or police stations.

2 Includes cases of children cared for part of the time in jalls or police stations and part of the time elsewhere.

2 Excludes cases of children cared for part of the time in jalls or police stations or detention homes and part of the time elsewhere.

4 Not shown where number of cases is less than 50.

5 Less than 1 per cent.

Table XI.—Charge on which families were referred in dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

	Charge not re- ported			992	21	2				96
Families referred in dependency and ueglect cases	Charge reported	Other charge	Per cent 1	7	5	18	4	-	8 10	(2)
			Num- ber	468	10	1 1 72	2	1114	5   6	8 8
		Question of custody	Per cent 1	×	21	0 0	30	35	2 2	0
			Num- ber	563	15	61 00 E	18	57		63
		Financial need	Per cent 1	12	24	2 2 8 8	13	25	15	7
			Num- ber	859	17 7	49 3 31	13	17	16	22   SS
		Insufficient parental care	Per cent 1	36	7 79	41 36 12	15	28	20 49	53
			Num- ber	2, 573	11 5 242 6	63 1 1	9	45 19	17 12 5 29 10	420 20 3 3 116
		Improper conditions in home	Per cent 1	22	37	88 88	38	29	52	35 35 22
			Num- ber	1, 599	115 26 38	38 50 6 6 151	16 21	47	13 32 8 16 17	274
		Abuse or cruel treat- ment	Per cent 1	8		20 20	~100		5 2	3
			Num- ber	248	-	2 1 18	614			24
		Abandon- ment or desertion	Per cent 1	12	10	8 26 112	11	7.	2 7	8
			Num- ber	851	3 13	25 28 28	6.2	13 10		22 22 1
		Total		7, 161	30 305 6	188 174 12 393	56	163 69 34	33 20 20 20 33	786 33 33 168 168 168 168 168 168 168 168 168 168
	Total			8, 153	30 70 326 6	190 174 12 393	92	163 69 34	33 61 16 29	1, 757 1, 757 30 113 168
Court				Total	Connecticut Bridgeport Bridgeport Bartiord District of Columbia Indiana:	Jedinarys Ounty Lake County Marion County Montgomery County Lowa: Polk County	Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	Ramsey County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part)	New York: New York: Buffalo Chemung County Clinton County Columbia County Erie County	Morroe County New York City Ontario County Orange County Orleans County Westchester County

[ -	11111	-			11111		1
						1 1 1 1	-
2	44681	14	69	13			
-	21 11 40	43	11 4	222 4	-	4	
7	9 14 3	17	(3)	14 26	-	13	
4.6	240	452	67.69	245	-	16	nt.
19	119	10	9	22 12	4	5	1 per ce
11 2	4 88 5 5 5 6	28.0	25	383.2	8 -	4 3	2 Less than 1 per cent
22	60 41 17	30	35	22	25	23	2 Le
13 6	31 279 142 36	91	148	360	19	29-7	
34	23 4 40	18	27	10	27	56	
- 62	9 2 2 2 4 7 2 3	255	115	176	-2	4604	
69	0 1 7 5	2	9	41-	4	2	
- 5	37	371	26 1	68		m m   ⊣	an 50.
12	9 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	80	8	18	38	8	less th
004	1 22 30	263	97	312	29	4 4 14	nilies is
202	21 513 350 217	302 242	424 18 13	1,766	15265	124 22 48	er of far
58	21 52 513 350 217	303 303 24	424 18 13	13 68 1	1711	124 48 48	e numb
		<del></del>					n wher
North Carolina: Buncombe County. Winston-Salem.	Auglaize County Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County	Lake County Mathoning County Montgomery County Sandusky County	Pennsylvania: Allegheny County. Berks County. Lyconning County.	Motigonery County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Tracs: Orange County	First district Third district Fourth district Fifth district Other counties	Virgina: Lynchburg. Lynchkidk. Noanoke County. Washington: Plerce County.	1 Not shown where number of families is 1-ss than 50

<sup>1</sup> Not shown where number of families is less than 50.

Table XII.—Disposition in official dependency and neglect cases dealt with by 53 specified courts during 1928

		Dispo- sition not re- ported		1						
		Other disposition	Per cent1	1	4	6	3	2	2	(3)
		Other di	Number	137	5	15	ထ တ	9 69	2	1 3
		Child committed to institution	Per cent1	22	55 44 2	26	38	56	19	38 31 18
		Child co to inst	Number	2,947	83 8	15 1 187 187	.85 £3;	33.22	17 13 18	1, 115 1, 115 15
cases		Child committed to board, depart- ment, or agency	Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup> Number Per cent <sup>1</sup>	26	80.22	23	4	48	7.1	66 (2) 22 (2) 1
d neglect	Disposition reported		Number	3, 551	1 36 427	93	11 4	162 26 7	50	552
Official dependency and neglect cases		Dismissed or Child placed under supervision placed under court sion of individual other than probation officer	Per cent <sup>1</sup>	7	1	288	8 8	4.6	7	(3)
			Number	666	es es	10 10	14	14	00 0	164   80
ШO			Per cent	23	4-16	6.3		29	22	39
			Number	3, 111	18	8 19	4	91	1000	1, 484 45
			Per cent <sup>1</sup>	20	39	13	33	41	70	31 28 17
			Number	2, 718	34 77	33		139 8 8 6	81	26 32 32 32 14 28
		Total		13, 463	56 142 533	16 5 247 322	269	336 135 46	72 27 27	3, 616 84 84 33
		Total		13, 464	56 142 533	16 247 322	269			3, 617 884 84 84 84 84
		Court		Total	Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford District of Columbia	Linguis County Jennings County Lake County Marion County	Montgomery County	Ouachita Parish	New York: Buffalo Chemung County Clinton County	Countries Erie County. Franklin County. Morroe County. New York City. Ontario County.

			7	4	-
		(5)			
4.6	21 21 1 8	4 600	∞	6	
5	81 128 1 188 1 42	7 115	67	4 71	
9 12 6	88 172 2 2 2 84 147 6	70 7 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	82 2 2	101 121	er cent.
55	46 14 91 14 23	24 1 24 2		10	Less than 1 per cent
201	26 427 197 197 19 80 80 4	426 20 7 7 969 1		23	, Less
<u></u>	3881 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17	100	13	25	
24 17 15	236 336 34 42	26 1 15 10 3 3	16	355 55 5	
11 11	21 10 10 4	445	10	9-	
		4   1   1   4		1	
18	2 191 59 6 6	462 4 9 423 423 27	12	33	than 50.
36	23.0	3 26 26	(m)	42	ses is less
131	214 412 42 14 14 6 6 63 63	30 1 2 1 1 1 17	44	94	"ber of cases is less than 50
365 365 33 26	43 87 925 613 613 216 37 138 353 27	1, 018 31 25 2, 283 34 34 36 66	122 3 3 7 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	30 224 70	
365 365 33 26	43 925 613 216 37 138 353	1, 018 31 25 34 2, 283 66	122 122 3 7	30 224 8 70	Not shown where
Vestchester County. Westchester County. North Carolina. Buncombe County.	Auglaize County. Clark County. Clayaloga County. Franklin County. Lamilton County. Mahoning County. Mangomery County.	remsyvans: Allegheny County Berks County. Iyeoming County Montgomery County Philadelphia. South Carolina: Greenville County.	First district Third district Fourth district Fifth district Other counties	Lynchburg Nortolk. Roanoke County Washington: Pierce County	1Not s

<sup>1</sup>Not shown where "ber of cases is less than 50.

Table XIII.—Number of cases of delinquent children placed on probation and number discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Cases of delinquent children							
Court	Off	icial	Unofficia	l				
	Placed on probation	Discharged from pro- bation	Placed on probation	Discharged from pro- bation				
Total	10, 301	7, 872	1,055	621				
Connecticut: BridgeportHartford District of ColumbiaIndiana:	150 248 586	136 237 530	4 18	6 2				
Adams County Jennings County Lake County Marion County Monroe County Montgomery County Steuben County	103 224 22 3 6	8 5 37 211 7 2 6	19 70 8 9 22	10 72 2 2 2 22				
Vermillion County. Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish Minnesota:	7 11 31	6 2 18	63 22 19	49 10 9				
Hennepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part) Missouri: Jackson County	563 279 24	500 229 10 283	7	34				
New Jersey: Hudson County Mercer County New York:	285 233	229 94		5				
Buffalo Clinton County Columbia County Erie County Monroe County New York City Orleans County Westchester County	179 4 10 111 170 2,887 11 241	158 7 11 81 17 1,756 4 451	8	86				
Ohio: Clark County Cuyahoga County Franklin County Hamilton County Lake County Mahoning County Sandusky County	49 693 460 13 14 212	21 242 374 7 9 125	18 13 286	250				
Pennsylvania: Berks County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County	45 1, 842 41	10 1,600 25	195 2 1	2				
Utah: Second districtThird district	51	28	56 62 12	19 1 14				
Fifth district Carbon County Other counties Virginia:	73 31		12 2 10					
Lynchburg Norfolk	84 251							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes only courts reporting cases of children discharged from probation; Adams and Jennings Counties, Ind., and Jackson County, Mo., reported cases of children discharged from probation, but did not report delinquency cases.

Table XIV.—Duration of probation in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928

				O	Cases of delinquent children discharged from probation	nquent chi	ldren disc	narged fron	1 probation	a			
Cont						Duration of probation reported	of probation	n reported					
	Total	Total	Less than 3 months	3 months, less than 6	Less than 3 months, 6 months, 9 months, 3 months less than less than less than 1 year	9 months, less than 1 year	1 year, less than 18 months	months, less than 2 years	2 years, less than	3 years, less than	4 years, less than 5	5 years and more	Duration of proba- tion not reported
Total	8, 493	8, 481	1, 190	2,018	1,948	984	1, 191	200	372	153	7.5	50	12
Connecticut: Bridgeport Hartlord District of Columbia	136 243 532	136 243 532	12 18 95	23 41 76	31 70 127	19 49 58	48 40 64	14 43	111	12			
Indiana: Adams County	∞,	ος <b>,</b>	2	6 6	2	1	C1	5		1			
Jennings Connty Lake County Marion County Monteoc County Monteomery County	253 9	283 9	23.33	14 137 3	53	122	1 16	8				1	
Steuben County Vernillion County Louisiana:	228	228	7 tO 7F	16	15	1000	00	T   C1	2		1		1
Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish Minnesota	12 27	12	11.8	11	∞								
Hemepin County Ramsey County St. Louis County (southern part) Missouri: Jackson County	500 229 10 317	498 229 10 317	86 61 50	199 42 42 155	169 37 1 89	31 41 12	12 22 4 4	16	10				61
New Jersey: Hudson County New York:	234	234	29 6	51	57	38	50	∞ ∞	1 9	44	F E I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		1 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Buffalo Clumbia County	1128	158	17.	19	40	11	45	∞	6				
Erie County Monroe County New York City Orleans County	1,755	1,756	258 258	776	514	146	56	10	2	C, b c b  1	7	1 4 1 1 1 4 2 0 1 1 1 4 2 1 4 2 1 4 2 1 4 2 1 4 2 1 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 2 1 7 4 3 1 7 4 4 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #
Westchester County	537	537	20	41.	85.4	52	62	51	74	59	55	38	

Table XIV.—Duration of probation in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928—Continued

	Duration	of proba- tion not s reported	1 10 10 2	
		5 years and more		
		4 years, less than 5	15	
u l		3 years, less than	- 10 - 1 8	
n probatio		2 years, less than	5 8 87 8 2 141 2	4
narged froi	n reported	18 months, less than 2 years	4. 41% 41% bill 18	16
ildren disel	Duration of probation reported	9 months, 1 year, less than less than 1 year 18 months		132
Cases of delinquent children discharged from probation	Duration	9 months, less than 1 year	co	41
ases of deli		Less than 3 months, 6 months, 3 months a 3 months	1666 1666 1 242 1 18 1 18	43
S		3 months, less than 6	4000 4 404 0 0F 2	28
		Less than 3 months	283838383838383838411281128112811281128112811281128112811	-1-
		Total	22 241 254 257 257 267 136 11 267 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 27	236
		Total	222 2247 2247 257 257 267 112 200 1 1 200 1 1 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	236
		Court	Ohio: Curahoga County Curahoga County Franklin County Lake County Mahoning County Sandusky County Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Berks County Pennsylvania: Touth Carolina: Greenville County Texas: Orange County Texas: Orange County That district Third district Fifth district Carbon County Other counties	Lynchburg

Table XV.—Reason for discharge in cases of delinquent children discharged from probation by 45 specified courts during 1928

	Cases of delinquent children discharged from probation									
			Reason	for discha	irge					
Court	Total cases	Further supervision not recommended or discharged with improvement before reaching age limit	Chiid commit- ted to institu- tion	Child commit- ted to agency or individ- ual	Child reached age limit	Other reason				
Total	8, 493	5, 338	1, 177	377	866	735				
Connecticut: Bridgeport	136 243 532	95 208 212	16 28 39	181	17 78	8 7 22				
Adams County Jennings County	8 5 47	5	3		1 4	2 1 7				
Lake County Marion County Monroe County	283 9	37 180 4	28 5	2	28	45				
Montgomery County Steuben County Vermillion County	4 28 55	17 30	3	1 5	17	 6 5				
Louisiana: Caddo Parish Ouachita Parish	12 27	11 17		5	2	1 3				
Minnesota: Hennepin CountyRamsey County	500 229	351 174	114 43	1 4	28 8	6				
St. Louis County (southern part)  Missouri: Jackson County  New Jersey:	10 317	6 249	3 28		2	1 38				
Hudson County Mercer County New York:	234 94	91 14	60 34	10	27	46 46				
BuffaloClinton County	158 11	118 10	37 1			3				
Columbia County Erie County Monroe County	11 81 17	9 66 3	1 9 10	3 3	1	3 1				
New York City	1,756 4 537	1, 294 2 389	273	12	133	44 2 21				
Ohio: Clark County Cuyahoga County	21 242	17 73	77	$\frac{1}{26}$	1 21	2 45				
Franklin County Hamilton County Lake County	374 257 9	323 112 2	40 27 1	3 10	33 4	1 75 2				
Mahoning County Sandusky County	137 12	95 3	14 1	1	7 6	20 2				
Pennsylvania: Berks County. Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County. Texas: Orange County	10 1,600 27 1	756 9	212 9	78	8 337 1	<sup>2</sup> 217				
Utah: Second district Third district	47	39	5	1		1 2				
Fifth district	1 26 69	26 63	3	3		1				
Other counties	69	47	3	.4		3 15				
Norfolk.	236	175	19	17	7	1				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes 2 "reason for discharge not reported."
<sup>2</sup> Includes 8 "reason for discharge not reported."

Table XVI.—Number of cases of dependent and neglected children placed under supervision and number discharged from supervision by 20 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Cases of dependent and neglected children							
$\operatorname{Court}$	Offi	icial	Unoi	ficial				
	Placed under supervision	Discharged from supervision	under	Discharged from supervision				
Total	2, 428	1,915	47	21				
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford. District of Columbia Indiana: Adams County. Lake County. Montgomery County. Louisiana: Ouachita Parish. Minnesota: Ramsey County. New York: Buffalo. Columbia County. Monroe County.	9 91 1 20 93	3 1 21 2 3 5 6 5 6 5 6	3 7 13	2 1 7				
New York City. Ohio: Cuyahoga County. Lake County. Sandusky County. Pennsylvania: Berks County. Philadelphia. South Carolina: Greenville County. Utah: Other counties <sup>2</sup> Virginia: Norfolk.	191 6 17 4 423 27	941 50 1 2 4 733 19	5 2 2	9				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision; Adams County, Ind., reported cases of children discharged from supervision, but did not report dependency cases.

<sup>2</sup> Counties other than Carbon and those included in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth districts.

Table XVII.—Duration of supervision in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision												
		Duration of supervision reported										ervi- rted	
Court	Total cases	Total	Less than 3 months	3 months, less than 6	6 months, less than 9	9 months, less than 1 year	1 year, less than 18 months	18 months, less than 2 years	2 years, less than 3	3 years, less than 4	4 years, less than 5	5 years and more	Duration of supervision not reported
Total	1, 936	1, 935	366	465	346	218	241	113	117	32	25	12	1
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford. District of Columbia Indiana: Adams County. Lake County. Montgomery County. Louisiana: Ouachita Parish Minnesota: Ramsey County. New York: Buffalo.	1 21 2 5	3 1 21 2 5 5 13 56	1 	7  1 5 10	1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 4	2 1 3 3 3 10	1 5	1 2	2			i
Columbia County Monroe County New York City	30 34 941	30 34 941	$\begin{array}{c} 1\\25\\206\end{array}$	9 9 343	7 223	110	7 55	 4	4			2	
Ohio: Cuyahoga County Lake County Sandusky County Pennsylvania:	2	50 2 2	35	8 1 2	5 1	2							
Berks County Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County Utah: Other counties <sup>2</sup> Virginia: Norfolk	733 28 1	733 28 1	4 57 9 1	68	88	97	157 2 2	96 3	110	27 3	25	8 2	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting cases of dependency and neglect reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision.

<sup>2</sup> Counties other than Carbon and those included in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth districts.

Table XVIII.—Reason for discharge in cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision by 20 specified courts during 1928 <sup>1</sup>

	Cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from super									
			Re	eason for	discharg	ge reporte	ed			
Court	Total cases	Total	Further super-vision not recommended or discharged with improvement before reaching age limit	Child com- mitted to insti- tution	Child com- mitted to agency	Child com- mitted to indi- vidual	Child reached age limit	Other reason	Reason for dis- charge not re- ported	
Total	1, 936	1, 931	1, 179	244	117	183	35	173	5	
Connecticut: Bridgeport. Hartford. District of Columbia. Indiana: Adams County. Lake County. Montgomery County. Louisiana: Ouachita Parish. Minnesota: Ramsey County. Buffalo. Columbia County. Monroe County. New York City. Ohio: Cuyahoga County. Lake County.	3 1 21 2 5 6 13 566 1 30 34 941 50 2	3 1 21 2 5 6 13 566 1 30 34 4 941 50 2	3 1 7 7 1 1 4 37 5 662 7 1 1	1 2 1 222 2 2	7 34 13 35 1	1 3 4 4 2 9	3	4 2 1 5 3 6 26 4		
Sandusky County Pennsylvania: Berks County	2 4	2 4		4	2					
Philadelphia South Carolina: Greenville County	733 28	728 28	447	5 6	2 5	146 8	14 2	114 7 1	5	
Utah: Other counties 2 Virginia: Norfolk	3	3	3							

Only 20 of the 53 courts reporting dependency and neglect cases reported cases of dependent and neglected children discharged from supervision.
 Counties other than Carbon and those included in the first, second, third, fourth, and fifth districts.



BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY 3 9999 05708 5266





